

ORDINANCE #67065
Board Bill No. 436

ORDINANCE DESIGNATING A PORTION OF THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI, AS A REDEVELOPMENT AREA KNOWN AS THE LUDWIG LOFTS REDEVELOPMENT AREA PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX INCREMENT ALLOCATION REDEVELOPMENT ACT; APPROVING A REDEVELOPMENT PLAN AND A REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT WITH RESPECT THERETO; ADOPTING TAX INCREMENT FINANCING WITHIN THE REDEVELOPMENT AREA; MAKING FINDINGS WITH RESPECT THERETO; ESTABLISHING THE LUDWIG LOFTS SPECIAL ALLOCATION FUND; AUTHORIZING CERTAIN ACTIONS BY CITY OFFICIALS; AND CONTAINING A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE.

WHEREAS, the City of St. Louis, Missouri (the “City”), is a body corporate and a political subdivision of the State of Missouri, duly created, organized and existing under and by virtue of its charter, the Constitution and laws of the State of Missouri; and

WHEREAS, on December 20, 1991, pursuant to Ordinance No. 62477, the Board of Aldermen of the City created the Tax Increment Financing Commission of the City of St. Louis, Missouri (the “TIF Commission”); and

WHEREAS, the TIF Commission is duly constituted according to the Real Property Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, Sections 99.800 to 99.865 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri (2000), as amended (the “TIF Act”), and is authorized to hold public hearings with respect to proposed redevelopment areas and redevelopment plans and to make recommendations thereon to the City; and

WHEREAS, staff and consultants of the City and Ludwig Partners, L.L.C., a Missouri limited liability company (the “Developer”), prepared a plan for redevelopment titled “Ludwig Lofts TIF Redevelopment Plan” as amended dated November 16, 2005 (the “Redevelopment Plan”), for an area generally located at 1004-1006 Olive in downtown St. Louis (the “Redevelopment Area” or “Area”), which Redevelopment Area is more fully described in the Redevelopment Plan, attached hereto and incorporated herein as **Exhibit A**; and

WHEREAS, the Redevelopment Plan proposes to redevelop the Redevelopment Area by acquiring the property within the Area, and rehabilitating and renovating the existing structures therein into a mix of residential units and commercial space with other improvements, as set forth in the Redevelopment Plan (the “Redevelopment Project,” or “TIF Project”); and

WHEREAS, on January 11, 2006 after all proper notice was given, the TIF Commission held a public hearing in conformance with the TIF Act and received comments from all interested persons and taxing districts relative to the Redevelopment Area, the Redevelopment Plan, and the Redevelopment Project; and

WHEREAS, on January 11, 2006, the TIF Commission found that completion of the Redevelopment Project would provide a substantial and significant public benefit through the creation of new jobs, the elimination of blight, the strengthening of the employment and economic base of the City, increased property values and tax revenues, stabilization of the Redevelopment Area, and facilitation of economic stability for the City as a whole, and further found that without the assistance of tax increment financing in accordance with the TIF Act, the Redevelopment Project is not financially feasible and would not otherwise be completed; and

WHEREAS, on January 11, 2006, the TIF Commission voted to recommend that the Board of Aldermen adopt an ordinance in the form required by the Act (i) adopting tax increment financing within the Redevelopment Area, (ii) approving the Redevelopment Plan, (iii) approving and designating the Redevelopment Area as a “redevelopment area” as provided in the Act, (iv) approving the Redevelopment Project as described within the Redevelopment Plan, and (v) approving the issuance of tax increment financing revenue notes; and

WHEREAS, the Developer has demonstrated that the Redevelopment Project would not reasonably be anticipated to be developed without the adoption of tax increment financing and, therefore, redevelopment of the Redevelopment Area in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan is not feasible and would not otherwise be completed; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Aldermen has received the recommendations of the TIF Commission regarding the Redevelopment Area and the Redevelopment Plan and finds that it is desirable and in the best interests of the City to designate the Redevelopment Area as a “redevelopment area” as provided in the TIF Act, adopt the Redevelopment Plan and Redevelopment Project in order to encourage and facilitate the redevelopment of the Redevelopment Area; and

WHEREAS, the Redevelopment Area qualifies for the use of tax increment financing to alleviate the conditions that qualify it as a “blighted area” as provided in the TIF Act and as set forth herein; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary and desirable and in the best interest of the City to adopt tax increment allocation financing within the Redevelopment Area and to establish a special allocation fund for the Redevelopment Area in order to provide for the promotion of the general welfare through redevelopment of the Redevelopment Area in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan which redevelopment includes, but is not limited to, assistance in the physical, economic, and social development of the City of St. Louis, providing for a stabilized population and plan for the optimal growth of the City of St. Louis, preservation of historic structures, encouragement of a sense of community identity, safety and civic pride, and the elimination of impediments to land disposition and development in the City of St. Louis.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION ONE. The Board of Aldermen hereby makes the following findings:

A. The Redevelopment Area on the whole is a “blighted area”, as defined in Section 99.805 of the TIF Act, and has not been subject to growth and development through investment by private enterprise and would not reasonably be anticipated to be developed without the adoption of tax increment financing. This finding includes, the Redevelopment Plan sets forth, and the Board of Aldermen hereby finds and adopts by reference: (i) a detailed description of the factors that qualify the Redevelopment Area as a “blighted area” and (ii) an affidavit, signed by the Developer and submitted with the Redevelopment Plan, attesting that the provisions of Section 99.810.1(1) of the TIF Act have been met, which description and affidavit are incorporated herein as if set forth herein.

B. The Redevelopment Plan conforms to the comprehensive plan for the development of the City as a whole.

C. In accordance with the TIF Act, the Redevelopment Plan states the estimated dates of completion of the Redevelopment Project and retirement of the financial obligations issued to pay for certain redevelopment project costs and these dates are twenty three (23) years or less from the date of approval of the Redevelopment Project.

D. A plan has been developed for relocation assistance for businesses and residences in Ordinance No. 62481 adopted December 20, 1991.

E. A cost-benefit analysis showing the economic impact of the Redevelopment Plan on each taxing district which is at least partially within the boundaries of the Redevelopment Area is on file with the St. Louis Development Corporation, which cost-benefit analysis shows the impact on the economy if the Redevelopment Project is not built, and is built pursuant to the Redevelopment Plan.

F. Redevelopment of the Redevelopment Area in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan is not financially feasible without the assistance of tax increment financing and would not otherwise be completed.

G. The Redevelopment Plan does not include the initial development or redevelopment of any “gambling establishment” as that term is defined in Section 99.805(6) of the TIF Act.

H. The Redevelopment Area includes only those parcels of real property and improvements thereon directly and substantially benefited by the proposed Redevelopment Project.

SECTION TWO. The Redevelopment Area described in the Redevelopment Plan is hereby designated as a “redevelopment area” as defined in Section 99.805(11) of the TIF Act.

SECTION THREE. The Redevelopment Plan as reviewed and recommended by the TIF Commission on January 11, 2006, including amendments thereto, if any, and the Redevelopment Project described in the Redevelopment Plan are hereby adopted and approved. A copy of the Redevelopment Plan is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and incorporated herein by reference.

SECTION FOUR. There is hereby created and ordered to be established within the treasury of the City a separate fund to be known as the “Ludwig Lofts Special Allocation Fund.” To the extent permitted by law and except as otherwise provided in the Redevelopment Plan, the City hereby pledges funds in the Ludwig Lofts Special Allocation Fund for the payment of redevelopment

project costs and obligations incurred in the payment thereof.

SECTION FIVE. Tax increment allocation financing is hereby adopted within the Redevelopment Area. After the total equalized assessed valuation of the taxable real property in the Redevelopment Area exceeds the certified total initial equalized assessed valuation of the taxable real property in the Redevelopment Area, the ad valorem taxes, and payments in lieu of taxes, if any, arising from the levies upon taxable real property in the Redevelopment Area by taxing districts and tax rates determined in the manner provided in Section 99.855.2 of the TIF Act each year after the effective date of this Ordinance until redevelopment costs have been paid shall be divided as follows:

A. That portion of taxes, penalties and interest levied upon each taxable lot, block, tract, or parcel of real property which is attributable to the initial equalized assessed value of each such taxable lot, block, tract, or parcel of real property in the area selected for the Redevelopment Project shall be allocated to and, when collected, shall be paid by the City Collector to the respective affected taxing districts in the manner required by law in the absence of the adoption of tax increment allocation financing;

B. Payments in lieu of taxes attributable to the increase in the current equalized assessed valuation of each taxable lot, block, tract, or parcel of real property in the area selected for the Redevelopment Project and any applicable penalty and interest over and above the initial equalized assessed value of each such unit of property in the area selected for the Redevelopment Project shall be allocated to and, when collected, shall be paid to the City Treasurer, who shall deposit such payment in lieu of taxes into the Ludwig Lofts Special Allocation Fund for the purpose of paying redevelopment costs and obligations incurred in the payment thereof. Payments in lieu of taxes which are due and owing shall constitute a lien against the real estate of the Redevelopment Project from which they are derived and shall be collected in the same manner as the real property tax, including the assessment of penalties and interest where applicable.

SECTION SIX. In addition to the payments in lieu of taxes described in Section Five of this Ordinance, fifty percent (50%) of the total additional revenue from taxes, penalties and interest which are imposed by the City or other taxing districts, and which are generated by economic activities within the area of the Redevelopment Project over the amount of such taxes generated by economic activities within the area of the Redevelopment Project in the calendar year prior to the adoption of the Redevelopment Project by ordinance, while tax increment financing remains in effect, but excluding personal property taxes, taxes imposed on sales or charges for sleeping rooms paid by transient guests of hotels and motels, taxes levied pursuant to Section 70.500 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri (2000) as amended, or taxes levied for the purpose of public transportation pursuant to Section 94.660 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri (2000) as amended, licenses, fees or special assessments other than payments in lieu of taxes and penalties and interest thereon, shall be allocated to, and paid by the collecting officer to the City Treasurer or other designated financial officer of the City, who shall deposit such funds in a separate segregated account within the Ludwig Lofts Special Allocation Fund.

SECTION SEVEN. The Comptroller of the City is hereby authorized to enter into agreements or contracts with other taxing districts as is necessary to ensure the allocation and collection of the taxes and payments in lieu of taxes described in Sections Five and Six of this Ordinance and the deposit of the said taxes or payments in lieu of taxes into the Ludwig Lofts Special Allocation Fund for the payment of redevelopment project costs and obligations incurred in the payment thereof, all in accordance with the TIF Act.

SECTION EIGHT. The City Register is hereby directed to submit a certified copy of this Ordinance to the City Assessor, who is directed to determine the total equalized assessed value of all taxable real property within the Redevelopment Area as of the date of this Ordinance, by adding together the most recently ascertained equalized assessed value of each taxable lot, block, tract or parcel of real property within the Redevelopment Area, and shall certify such amount as the total initial equalized assessed value of the taxable real property within the Redevelopment Area.

SECTION NINE. The Mayor and Comptroller of the City or their designated representatives are hereby authorized and directed to take any and all actions as may be necessary and appropriate in order to carry out the matters herein authorized, with no such further action of the Board of Aldermen necessary to authorize such action by the Mayor and the Comptroller or their designated representatives.

SECTION TEN. The Mayor and the Comptroller or their designated representatives, with the advice and concurrence of the City Counselor and after approval by the Board of Estimate and Apportionment, are hereby further authorized and directed to make any changes to the documents, agreements and instruments approved and authorized by this Ordinance as may be consistent with the intent of this Ordinance and necessary and appropriate in order to carry out the matters herein authorized, with no such

further action of the Board of Aldermen necessary to authorize such changes by the Mayor and the Comptroller or their designated representatives.

SECTION ELEVEN. It is hereby declared to be the intention of the Board of Aldermen that each and every part, section and subsection of this Ordinance shall be separate and severable from each and every other part, section and subsection hereof and that the Board of Aldermen intends to adopt each said part, section and subsection separately and independently of any other part, section and subsection. In the event that any part, section or subsection of this Ordinance shall be determined to be or to have been unlawful or unconstitutional, the remaining parts, sections and subsections shall be and remain in full force and effect, unless the court making such finding shall determine that the valid portions standing alone are incomplete and are incapable of being executed in accord with the legislative intent.

SECTION TWELVE. After adoption of this Ordinance by the Board of Aldermen, this Ordinance shall become effective on the 30th day after its approval by the Mayor or adoption over his veto; *provided that* if, within ninety (90) days after the effective date of an ordinance authorizing the City to enter into a redevelopment agreement pertaining to the Redevelopment Project, the Developer has not (i) executed such redevelopment agreement and (ii) paid all fees due to the City in accordance with the terms of the redevelopment agreement, the provisions of this Ordinance shall be deemed null and void and of no effect and all rights conferred by this Ordinance on Developer, shall terminate, *provided further*, however, that prior to any such termination the Developer may seek an extension of time in which to execute the Redevelopment Agreement, which extension may be granted in the sole discretion of the Board of Estimate and Apportionment of the City of St. Louis.

EXHIBIT A

LUDWIG LOFTS TIF REDEVELOPMENT PLAN

LUDWIG LOFTS

TIF REDEVELOPMENT PLAN

**Submitted to
the City of St. Louis
Tax Increment Financing Commission
November 16, 2005**

**LUDWIG LOFTS
TIF REDEVELOPMENT PLAN
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- I. INTRODUCTION**
- II. OVERVIEW OF TAX INCREMENT FINANCING**
- III. REDEVELOPMENT PLAN INCLUDING NECESSARY FINDINGS**
 - 1. LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AREA
 - 2. REDEVELOPMENT PLAN OBJECTIVES
 - 3. REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT
 - 4. GENERAL LAND USES TO APPLY
 - 5. REDEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE AND ESTIMATED DATES OF COMPLETION
 - 6. MOST RECENT EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUE OF PARCELS WITHIN REDEVELOPMENT AREA
 - 7. ESTIMATED EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUE AFTER REDEVELOPMENT
 - 8. ACQUISITION
 - 9. BLIGHTED AREA
 - 10. CONFORMS WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN OF THE CITY
 - 11. PLAN FOR RELOCATION ASSISTANCE
 - 12. COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS
 - 13. DOES NOT INCLUDE GAMBLING ESTABLISHMENT
 - 14. REPORTS TO DED

15. HISTORICAL LAND USE

IV. FINANCING PLAN

1. ELIGIBLE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT COSTS
2. ANTICIPATED SOURCES OF FUNDS TO PAY REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT COSTS
3. TIF NOTE FUNDING
4. EVIDENCE OF COMMITMENT TO FINANCE PROJECT COSTS

LUDWIG LOFTS TIF REDEVELOPMENT PLAN

APPENDICES

1. LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AREA
2. ANTICIPATED SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS
3. ANALYSIS OF CONDITIONS REPRESENTING A BLIGHTED AREA FOR THE LUDWIG LOFTS REDEVELOPMENT AREA
4. REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT SCHEDULE
5. CURRENT AND HISTORICAL INFORMATION CONCERNING THE EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUE OF AND ECONOMIC ACTIVITY TAXES (EATS) WITHIN THE REDEVELOPMENT AREA
6. DEVELOPER'S AFFIDAVIT
7. EVIDENCE OF COMMITMENT TO FINANCE PROJECT COSTS
8. GENERAL LAND USES TO APPLY
9. REAL PROPERTY TAX INCREMENT ALLOCATION ACT

I. INTRODUCTION

The following is a plan prepared for redevelopment of certain real property in the City of St. Louis (the "City") consisting of two adjacent buildings located at 1004 and 1006 Olive Street (the "Redevelopment Area" or "Area"). A legal description and map of the Redevelopment Area are attached hereto as **Appendix 1** and incorporated herein by this reference.

The Redevelopment Area qualifies as a blighted area under Missouri's Real Property Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, Section 99.800-99.865 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri (2000) (the "TIF Act").

This Redevelopment Plan contemplates the complete redevelopment of the Area into a mix of residential and commercial uses (the "Redevelopment Project" or "Project"). Generally, but not exclusively, the lower floors of each building will be utilized for a mix of commercial functions, while the upper floors will be primarily dedicated to residential use. The Project is expected to capitalize on other successful development efforts in downtown and to provide new residents and commercial tenants the opportunity to migrate to downtown St. Louis, and in doing so, to cure the conditions of vacancy and underutilization that plague the Area.

This Redevelopment Plan proposes that the City initially authorize and issue one or more Tax Increment Financing Notes ("TIF Note") in an amount up to Eight Hundred Fifty Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$850,000.00) plus issuance costs to fund a portion of the costs of the Redevelopment Project. The TIF Note issued shall be reimbursed solely from the revenue stream of Payments In Lieu of Taxes ("PILOTS") and Economic Activity Taxes ("EATS") generated by the Project over a twenty-three year period. Up to one hundred percent of PILOTS within the Redevelopment Area and up to fifty percent of EATS will be allocated to retire the TIF Note. After completion of the Redevelopment Project, the City may issue TIF Note(s) or other TIF obligations to the developer of the Project ("Developer") or a third party to evidence the City's obligation to reimburse the Developer for a portion of the costs of the Redevelopment Project. Such TIF Note(s) will be paid solely from revenues on deposit in the Ludwig Lofts Special Allocation Fund, in accordance with and pursuant to the TIF Act. Upon receipt by the City of a written request by Developer and

evidence that the Developer has met certain criteria agreed upon by the City and Developer in a Redevelopment Agreement, the City shall cause one of its agencies to immediately proceed to issue tax increment financing bonds (“TIF Bonds”) to repay the TIF Note.

The TIF Notes may be issued in one or more series and may include notes, temporary notes, or other financial obligations to be redeemed by TIF Notes upon completion of the Redevelopment Project. In addition, these TIF Notes or other financial obligations may be privately placed. It is the City’s intent to pay for the principal and interest on these TIF Notes or other financial obligations, in any year, solely with money legally available for such purpose within the City’s Special Allocation Fund.

II. OVERVIEW OF TAX INCREMENT FINANCING

In order to promote the redevelopment of a declining area or to induce new activity in an area that has been lacking in growth and development, the State of Missouri has provided statutory tools to counties and municipalities to assist private and initiate public, investment. One such tool is the TIF Act, a copy of which is attached hereto as **Appendix 9**.

The TIF Act allows cities and counties to (1) identify and designate redevelopment areas that qualify as Blighted Areas, Conservation Areas, or Economic Development Areas as each are defined in the TIF Act; (2) adopt a redevelopment plan that designates the redevelopment area and states the objectives to be attained and the program to be undertaken; (3) approve a redevelopment project(s) for implementation of the redevelopment plan; and (4) utilize the tools set forth in the TIF Act to assist in reducing or eliminating those conditions that cause the area to qualify as a redevelopment area. Generally, the TIF Act allows municipalities to foster economic and physical improvements in a redevelopment or project area and to enhance the tax base of all taxing districts that levy taxes in such area. Within redevelopment areas, municipalities may use the power of eminent domain to provide necessary property acquisition for the implementation of a redevelopment plan and redevelopment project.

The concept of tax increment financing is outlined as follows: implementation of a redevelopment project within the redevelopment area will produce increased real estate assessments attributable to the redevelopment within the area. The project then makes PILOTS on the increased assessed value of the improved property. The project also generates new EATS resulting from operations within the redevelopment or project area. The TIF Act authorizes the capture of certain PILOTS and EATS in the redevelopment or project area over and above such levels within that area in the year prior to the approval of the redevelopment project. New development is made possible within the redevelopment area through the municipality’s use of incremental revenues to finance certain costs of developing or redeveloping the area.

The municipality segregates these incremental revenues into a special account, the “special allocation fund,” during the period of time in which the incremental revenues are dedicated to the purposes identified in the redevelopment plan. The municipality is further authorized to pledge additional net new revenues from the project to the purposes identified in the redevelopment plan. All taxing districts that levy taxes on property within the redevelopment or project area continue to receive tax revenues based upon property values which existed prior to the adoption of ordinances establishing the redevelopment or project area. Taxing districts also benefit from the increase in certain other taxes resulting from the increased economic activity in the redevelopment or project area. These taxes resulting from development of the redevelopment project are not deposited in the special allocation fund pursuant to the provisions of the TIF Act.

The TIF Act requires that, prior to establishing a redevelopment area or approving or amending TIF redevelopment plans and projects, a municipality must create a TIF Commission. A TIF Commission is comprised of six individuals appointed by the chief elected official of the municipality, with the consent of its governing body, and three individuals who are appointed by the other taxing districts within the proposed redevelopment area. Two of these three members are to represent the school district(s) that tax property within the proposed redevelopment area; the other member is appointed by all the remaining taxing districts. The TIF Commission’s role is to review, consider, and make recommendations to the municipality’s governing body concerning the adoption of redevelopment plans and redevelopment projects and the designation of redevelopment areas, and to exercise such other powers as are available to it under the TIF Act.

III. REDEVELOPMENT PLAN INCLUDING NECESSARY FINDINGS

1. Legal Description of the Redevelopment Area

A legal description and map of the Redevelopment Area are included herein as **Appendix 1**.

The Redevelopment Area currently consists of the buildings located at 1004 (the “Ludwig Building”) and 1006 Olive Street (the “Thiebes-Stierlin Building”) in downtown St. Louis.

2. Redevelopment Plan Objectives

The City of St. Louis has established the following objectives for the Ludwig Lofts TIF Redevelopment Plan. These objectives are consistent with those purposes outlined in the TIF Act, as amended:

- To reduce or eliminate the conditions that cause the Redevelopment Area to be a “blighted area” as defined by Section 99.805(1) of the TIF Act and as described in this Redevelopment Plan;
- To enhance the public health, safety, and welfare of the community by curing blighting conditions and encouraging other improvements necessary for insuring the Area’s stability and existing and future redevelopment consistent with this Redevelopment Plan;
- To enhance the tax base by inducing development of the Redevelopment Area to its highest and best use, benefiting taxing districts and encouraging private investment in surrounding areas;
- To promote the health, safety, order, convenience, prosperity and the general welfare, as well as efficiency and economy in the process of development;
- To further objectives outlined in the Downtown Development Action Plan and the City of St. Louis Strategic Land Use Plan;
- To increase property values of the Area;
- To provide development opportunities in the Redevelopment Area and surrounding areas; and
- To stimulate construction and permanent employment opportunities and increased demand for services for the Area.

3. Redevelopment Project

To satisfy the above objectives, the Redevelopment Project consists of:

- **Mixed Use** Rehabilitation and renovation of the existing buildings in the Redevelopment Area into a mix of residential and commercial uses.

The Redevelopment Project is generalized to leave room for design creativity and owner specifications as needed, so that the Developer can respond to prospective purchasers’ and tenants’ needs as well as market conditions as redevelopment of the Redevelopment Project progresses.

It is expected that the Redevelopment Project will capitalize on existing successful redevelopment efforts in downtown St. Louis and will enhance the perception of downtown St. Louis as a safe and active environment. In addition, it is expected that the Project will encourage an increase in other commercial and residential development efforts in the vicinity of the Redevelopment Area.

The total estimated Redevelopment Project Costs for the Redevelopment Project at this time equal approximately \$7.1 million, as set forth in greater detail in **Appendix 2**. It should be noted that the costs set forth in **Appendix 2** are estimated based on the knowledge of the Redevelopment Project at this time and that the actual redevelopment cost items for implementing the Redevelopment Project may vary depending on market conditions and other factors.

4. General Land Uses to Apply

The general land uses proposed for the Area include residential and a variety of commercial uses. A map profiling the general land uses to apply is attached hereto as **Appendix 8** and incorporated herein by this reference.

5. Redevelopment Schedule and Estimated Dates of Completion

It is estimated that implementation of the Redevelopment Project will be completed within thirty (30) months from the execution of a redevelopment agreement between the City and the Developer of this Redevelopment Project as contemplated herein.

The estimated date for retirement of obligations incurred to finance the Redevelopment Project shall not be more than twenty-three (23) years from approval of the Redevelopment Project. The anticipated Redevelopment Program Schedule for the TIF Project is included herein as **Appendix 4**.

6. Recent Equalized Assessed Value of Parcels within the Redevelopment Area

The current Equalized Assessed Value of all property in the Redevelopment Area is attached as **Appendix 5**. These values are established and will be confirmed by the Assessor of the City of St. Louis. **Appendix 5** also includes historical information concerning the Equalized Assessed Value of the Redevelopment Area.

7. Estimated Equalized Assessed Value After Redevelopment

The total estimated Equalized Assessed Value of all property subject to PILOTS in the Redevelopment Area after redevelopment and completion of the Redevelopment Project Area is approximately \$619,914 (2009).

8. Acquisition

The Developer or a related entity is currently the owner of record of all parcels within the Area necessary for the Redevelopment Project or has all such parcels under contract.

9. Blighted Area

As described in greater detail in the *Analysis of Conditions Representing a Blighted Area for the Ludwig Loftis Redevelopment Area* attached hereto as **Appendix 3** and incorporated herein by this reference, the Redevelopment Area as a whole is a blighted area, and has not been subject to growth and development through investment by private enterprise and will not reasonably be expected to be developed without the adoption of tax increment financing. The Developer has executed an affidavit attesting to the existence of these conditions which is included herein as **Appendix 6**.

The cost of redevelopment precludes private enterprise from developing the Redevelopment Area to its highest and best use without public assistance. The cost of curing the existing conditions of blight and rehabilitation of improvements as contemplated in this Redevelopment Plan is not economically viable if fully borne by the Developer.

9. Conforms with the Comprehensive Plan of the City

The Redevelopment Plan conforms to the development of the City as set forth in the "Comprehensive City Plan" (1947), the "St. Louis Development Program" (1973), the "Economic Development Strategy" (1978), the "Downtown Development Action Plan" (2002), and the Strategic Land Use Plan (2005).

10. Plan for Relocation Assistance

As the Redevelopment Area is currently largely vacant, relocation of residents or businesses is not anticipated to be necessary within the Redevelopment Area with respect to the Redevelopment Project. To the extent relocation becomes necessary this Redevelopment Plan adopts the City St. Louis Relocation Policy (Ordinance No. 62481) as the relocation policy for this Redevelopment Plan.

11. Cost Benefit Analysis

A cost benefit analysis showing a net benefit to each taxing district impacted by this Redevelopment Plan is on file with the St. Louis Development Corporation, 1015 Locust Street, Suite 1200, St. Louis, MO 63101.

If the TIF Redevelopment Project is completed, then each of the taxing districts will continue to receive all of the tax revenues currently received from the Redevelopment Area. Additionally, they will benefit from the additional property taxes and economic activity taxes which will be paid and not contributed to the TIF. The TIF Act allows for the collection of only 50% of the EATS for payment of project costs. The other 50% are distributed to the appropriate taxing authorities.

12. Does Not Include Gambling Establishment

The Redevelopment Plan does not include the initial development or redevelopment of any gambling establishment.

13. Reports to DED

As required by the TIF Act, the City shall report to the Department of Economic Development by the last day of February each year, the name, phone number, and primary line of business of any business which locates within the Redevelopment Area.

14. Historical Land Use of Property Within the Redevelopment Area

The building located at 1004 Olive Street (the “Ludwig Building”) was designed by noted architect H. William Kirchner and was originally constructed in 1905. From 1920 to 1986, the Ludwig Building served as the home to the Ludwig Aeolian piano store. This building, however, has since fallen into disrepair, and has faced the threat of condemnation from the City. The Ludwig Building is currently vacant.

The building located at 1006 Olive Street (the “Thiebes-Stierlin Building”) was designed by renowned St. Louis architect Theodore C. Link and was also built in 1905. This six-story 20th century revival style building features a symmetrical façade, rusticated brick level with arched keystone window crowns, and a four story rise of windows with brick spandrel panels. The building was the home to the Thiebes-Stierlin Music Company from 1905 until 1919, when it was sold to the Rudolph Wurlitzer Company. During that period, the building featured prominently on what was known as “Music Row” on Olive Street. The Thiebes-Stierlin Building is now vacant.

IV. FINANCING PLAN1. Eligible Redevelopment Project Costs

The TIF Act provides for the use of tax increment revenues generated by a designated redevelopment area to pay all reasonable or necessary costs incurred, estimated to be incurred, or incidental to a redevelopment plan or redevelopment project within a designated TIF redevelopment area. A municipality may pledge all or any part of the funds in and to be deposited in the special allocation fund established for a redevelopment project area to the payment of redevelopment project costs and obligations within the redevelopment area, including the retention of funds for the payment of future redevelopment costs.

The estimated Redevelopment Project Costs to be incurred in connection with the TIF Project are approximately \$7.1 million, and are set forth in **Appendix 2**. More specifically, the TIF Act allows the City and/or its designated developer(s) to incur redevelopment costs associated with implementation of an approved Redevelopment Plan and approved Redevelopment Project. These costs include all reasonable or necessary costs incurred, and any costs incidental to a Redevelopment Project. Thus, this Redevelopment Plan anticipates that a portion of the sources of funds used to pay the Project Costs will come from the TIF revenues; such Project Costs, in accordance with the TIF Act, may include but are not limited to:

- Costs of studies, surveys, plans and specifications;
- Professional service costs including, but not limited to, architectural, engineering, legal, marketing, financial, planning or special services;
- Property assembly costs including, but not limited to, acquisition of land and other real or personal property rights, or interests therein;
- Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, or repair or remodeling of existing buildings and fixtures;
- Costs of construction of public works or improvements;
- Financing costs including, but not limited to, all necessary and incidental expenses related to the issuance of obligations, and which may include the payment of interest on any obligation issued under the provisions of this Redevelopment Plan accruing during the estimated period of construction of any Redevelopment Project for which such obligations are issued and for not more than eighteen months thereafter, and including reasonable reserves related thereto; and

- All or a portion of a taxing district’s capital costs resulting from the Redevelopment Project necessarily incurred or to be incurred in furtherance of the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan and Project, to the extent the City, by written agreement, accepts and approves such costs.

The costs shown on **Appendix 2** represent the total approximate costs of the project regardless of the source of funding. This table does not include all custom finishes over and above Developer-supplied finishes, which are unknown at this time. Typical plan implementation and financing costs are based on the experience of the Developer. It should be noted that these costs are based on the knowledge of the Project at this time and that the actual redevelopment cost items for implementing the Redevelopment Plan and the Redevelopment Project may vary from these estimates.

The following table illustrates the anticipated categories costs that will be funded in part by TIF, assuming the funding of up to \$850,000 in Redevelopment Project Costs.

CATEGORY	
	Acquisition Costs
	Demolition Costs (includes, but is not limited to, demolition of existing buildings and structures or parts thereof).
	Site Preparation and Improvements Costs (includes, but is not limited to, street and sidewalk improvements, utility work and resetting of curbs and landscaping and lighting in the common areas).
	Financing Costs (includes, but is not limited to, loan fees, disbursing fees, construction monitoring and inspection fees, lender’s legal fees, loan appraisals, flood certificates, tax credit investor fees and any and all other costs incurred by the Developer in connection with obtaining financing for and a tax credit investor in the Redevelopment Project).
	Environmental Testing, Remediation and/or Abatement Costs.
	Professional Service Costs (includes, but is not limited to, architectural, engineering, legal, marketing, financial, planning, or special services).
	TIF Costs & Issuance Costs incurred by the Developer
\$ 850,000	TOTAL

It is not the intent of **Appendix 2**, the table provided above, or this Redevelopment Plan to restrict the City or the Developer to the cost amounts, categories or allocations as outlined. During the life of the Redevelopment Area, Plan, and Project, other costs may be incurred or adjustments may be made within and among the line items specified in **Appendix 2** and additional categories may be added to the extent allowed by the TIF Act, if necessary and reasonable to accomplish the program objectives of the Redevelopment Plan.

2. Anticipated Sources of Funding to Pay Redevelopment Project Costs

There are six (6) principal sources of potential funds that are anticipated to be used to pay the costs of implementation of the Redevelopment Plan and the Redevelopment Project previously described. These sources are:

- State Historic Tax Credits;
- Federal Historic Tax Credits;
- Owner equity;
- Private financing;
- New Markets Tax Credits;

- Funds available through the issuance of TIF notes, bonds, loans, or other certificates of indebtedness (herein collectively referred to as “TIF Note or other financial obligations”).

The anticipated type and term of the sources of funds are set forth in **Appendix 2**. It is not the intent of **Appendix 2** or this Redevelopment Plan to restrict the City or the Developer to the sources or source amounts as outlined. During the life of the Redevelopment Agreement, Plan, and Project, other sources may be found or adjustments may be made within or in addition to the sources specified in **Appendix 2**.

3. TIF Note Funding

This Redevelopment Plan proposes that the City initially authorize the issuance of one or more Tax Increment Financing Notes (“TIF Note”) in a total amount up to Eight Hundred Fifty Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$850,000.00) plus issuance costs, to fund a portion of the Redevelopment Project Costs associated with completion of the Redevelopment Project, with a term of retirement for all such issues not more than 23 years. The TIF Notes or other financial obligations will be issued only to finance the Redevelopment Project and Redevelopment Project Costs as outlined in **Appendix 2** which are eligible costs as specified in Section 99.805(11) of the TIF Act, including any costs of issuing the TIF Notes or other financial obligations.

The Notes may be issued in one or more series and may include notes, temporary notes, or other financial obligations to be redeemed by TIF Notes upon completion of the Redevelopment Project. In addition, these Notes or other financial obligations may be privately placed. It is the City’s intent to pay for the principal and interest on these Notes or other financial obligations, in any year, solely with money legally available for such purpose within the Ludwig Lofts Special Allocation Fund.

The Ludwig Lofts Special Allocation Fund will contain at least two accounts as provided for and in accordance with the TIF Act:

1. The “PILOTS Account” will contain all payments in lieu of taxes derived from all taxable lots, blocks, tracts, and parcels of real property (or any interest therein) within the Redevelopment Area as contemplated by this Redevelopment Plan and in accordance with the TIF Act; and
2. The “Economic Activity Taxes (“EATS”) Account” will contain fifty percent (50%) of the total funds from taxes imposed by the City which are generated by the operations and activities within the Redevelopment Area, excluding licenses, fees or special assessments, and excluding personal property taxes and payments to the PILOTS Account, in accordance with the TIF Act.

Funds on deposit in the PILOTS Account and EATS Account will be pledged to the payment of the Redevelopment Project Costs. Such payment obligations shall not constitute debts or liabilities of the City, the State of Missouri, or any political subdivision thereof within the meaning of any constitutional or statutory debt limitation or restriction and neither the City nor the State of Missouri shall be liable thereon except from the PILOTS Account, and, to the extent appropriated by the City on an annual basis, the EATS Account, from funds derived from other taxes deposited into the Special Allocation Fund.

4. Evidence of Commitment to Finance Redevelopment Project Costs

Appendix 7 contains a preliminary commitment letter provided by U.S. Bank, which has made a preliminary review of the development proposal and has expressed a commitment to provide financing of Redevelopment Project Costs associated with the Redevelopment Project.

**APPENDIX 1
LUDWIG LOFTS TIF REDEVELOPMENT PLAN
LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP OF REDEVELOPMENT AREA**


Parcel 1:

A Lot in City Block 279 of the City of St. Louis, having a front of 25 feet on the Southern line of Olive Street by a depth Southwardly of 109 feet 1 inches, more or less, to an alley on which said lot fronts 25 feet, bounded on the West by a line 164 feet 8 inches East of the Eastern Line of Eleventh Street and on the East by a property now or formerly of Wickham.

Parcel 2:

A lot in Block 279 of the City of St. Louis, Beginning at a point in the South line of Olive Street, distant 78 feet 8-1/2 inches West of the Northeast corner of said Block; thence Westwardly along the South line of Olive Street 29 feet; thence Southwardly and parallel with Eleventh Street, 109 feet 2 inches, more or less, to an alley 15 feet wide; thence Eastwardly along the North line of said alley 29 feet; and thence Northwardly 109 feet 2 inches, more or less, to the point of beginning; bounded on the East by property, now ore formerly of Charles F. Pommer and on the West by property now or formerly of Appolonia P. Ghio.

BOUNDARY
Ludwig Lofts
Redevelopment Area
City Of St. Louis, Missouri



**APPENDIX 2
LUDWIG LOFTS TIF REDEVELOPMENT PLAN
ANTICIPATED SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS**

USES

Acquisition	\$	660,000
Prof. Fees and Soft Costs		
Architectural	\$	160,000
Inspecting Architect	\$	10,000
Engineering	\$	50,000
Property Survey	\$	2,500
Property Appraisal	\$	6,000
Environmental Report	\$	8,000
Legal Fees	\$	100,000
Tax Credit Fee	\$	5,000
Interim/Financing Costs		
Construction Period Insurance	\$	50,000
Construction Period Interest	\$	475,000
Construction Loan Fee	\$	30,000
Construction Period Taxes	\$	35,000
Permanent Financing Fee	\$	30,000
TIF Related Expenses	\$	50,000
TIF Loan Fee	\$	10,000
Title, Recording & Disbursing	\$	20,000
Accounting & Cost Certification	\$	15,000
Marketing Costs		
Advertising & Promotions	\$	30,000
Sales/Leasing Literature	\$	4,000
Commission	\$	30,000
Signage	\$	5,000
Display Costs - Furnishings	\$	10,000
Construction Costs		
Hard Costs - HBD	\$	3,600,000
Contingency	\$	360,000
Project Reserves	\$	75,000
Developer Fee	\$	1,300,000
TOTAL USES	\$	7,130,500

SOURCES

First Mortgage	\$	2,100,850
Deferred Developer Fee	\$	625,000
Bridge Loan/State Historic Tax Credit Proceeds	\$	1,260,750

Federal Historic Tax Credit Equity	\$	1,143,900
New Markets Tax Credit Equity	\$	1,150,000
TIF Proceeds	\$	850,000
TOTAL SOURCES	\$	7,130,500

**APPENDIX 3
ANALYSIS OF CONDITIONS REPRESENTING A BLIGHTED AREA FOR THE
LUDWIG LOFTS REDEVELOPMENT AREA**

**ANALYSIS OF CONDITIONS
REPRESENTING A BLIGHTED AREA
for the
LUDWIG LOFTS TIF REDEVELOPMENT AREA**

**LUDWIG LOFTS TIF REDEVELOPMENT PLAN
November 16, 2005**

**City of St. Louis, Missouri
Tax Increment Financing Commission**

TIF ELIGIBILITY

The Redevelopment Area (the “Area”) established in the Ludwig Lofts TIF Redevelopment Plan (the “TIF Redevelopment Plan”) is a blighted area based upon the fact that it exhibits the factors set in the TIF Act (Section 99.805(1). MO. REV. STAT.).

As defined, a “blighted area” is:

An area which, by reason of the predominance of defective or inadequate street layout, unsanitary or unsafe conditions, deterioration of site improvements, improper subdivision or obsolete platting, or the existence of conditions which endanger life or property by fire and other causes, or any combination of such factors, retards the provision of housing accommodations or constitutes an economic or social liability or a menace to the public health, safety, morals, or welfare in its present condition and use.

Blighting factors and conditions present in the Redevelopment Area include:

- 1) Deterioration of Site Improvements:
 - a) Building interior and exterior;
 - b) Sidewalks and curbs;
 - c) Site improvements; and
 - d) Systems and components.
- 2) Unsanitary and unsafe conditions resulting from:
 - a) Trash and debris; and
 - b) Interior and exterior site conditions.
- 3) Existence of Conditions which Endanger Lives or Property by Fire or Other Causes.
- 4) Menace to the Public Health, Safety, Morals or Welfare

- 5) Economic and Social Liability:
 - a) Deferred maintenance and lack of investment;
 - b) Excessive Vacancy; and
 - c) Uncompetitive position.

The factors listed above will persist and continue to decline until the comprehensive redevelopment of the Area is undertaken.

A map providing an overview of such conditions is attached hereto as Exhibit 1, along with Photographs of Conditions in the Area attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

DATA COLLECTION METHODS

This study has been designed and conducted to comply with the specific requirements of Section 99.805 (1) RSMo. The study and the requisite fieldwork were performed during the months of September 2004 and November 2005. Observations and conclusions are based upon on-site inspections of the Redevelopment Area and familiarity with the local market.

In determining if the proposed Redevelopment Area meets the eligibility requirements for TIF per the TIF Act, a number of sources of information were utilized. These include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. Survey of the condition and use of the Redevelopment Area;
- b. Public documents relating to the history and/or condition of the Area;
- c. Professional assessments of the condition of the Area; and
- d. Analysis of existing uses and their relationships.

OVERVIEW OF REDEVELOPMENT AREA

The Redevelopment Area consists of two parcels in City Block 279, as shown on Appendix 1 to the TIF Redevelopment Plan. The Area contains two vacant commercial buildings.

DISCUSSION OF BLIGHT IN THE REDEVELOPMENT AREA

- i. Deterioration of Site Improvements. In general, deterioration refers to any physical deficiencies or disrepair in buildings or site improvements requiring treatment or repair. Deterioration may be evident in basically sound buildings containing minor defects, such as a lack of painting, loose or missing roof tiles, floor or ceiling panes, or holes and cracks over limited areas. Deterioration which is not easily curable, however, and which cannot be accomplished in the course of normal maintenance, includes buildings with defects in the primary and secondary building components.

Primary building components include the foundation, exterior walls, floors, roofs, wiring, plumbing, etc. Secondary building components include the doors, windows, frames, fire escapes, gutters, downspouts, fascia materials, etc. Deterioration of streets and alleys includes evidence of pot holes, cracks, depressions, overgrowth, and poor drainage. Deterioration of sidewalks is evidenced by settled areas, cracks, gravel sections, overgrowth, or depressed curb areas.

The buildings in the Area suffer from deterioration of both primary and secondary building components. The exterior walls of the buildings in the Area are crumbling in many places and in need of significant repair or replacement. The doors and other entryways in the buildings are insufficiently secure. The windows in the Area are broken or missing entirely in several places, and the window frames are plagued by the same conditions of rampant deterioration that characterize the external walls of the Area. Both the floors and ceilings of the buildings in the Area are damaged and uneven, with tiles or sections missing.

In addition, significant deterioration is evident in many of the secondary components of the buildings in the Area. The utilities and ventilation systems are outdated and substandard according to modern demands. Furthermore, wiring and utilities are exposed in places. The perimeter of and entranceways to the Area suffer from the presence of overgrowth. The Area is also littered with garbage in places, while the accumulation of dirt and dust due to a lack of regular maintenance plagues other sections of the Area.

The City of St. Louis has recognized the conditions of deterioration that plague the Area in Ordinance Number 62914, which adopted the Blighting Plan and Study for the 1004 Olive Street Area. In Ordinance 62914 and the accompanying plan, the City stated that: “The Area is in poor condition . . . ‘Poor Condition’ means (1) buildings that are structurally unsound and/or substantially deteriorated, requiring major improvements such as new roofs, windows, systems, etc., in order to be used productively, or (2) property without buildings which is poorly maintained, has crumbling pavement, and/or is used for open storage.”

The City Development Corporation has also recognized the poor condition of the Area, stating that the building at 1004 Olive has “fallen into a state of disarray” and that, despite admonitions from the City to the previous owner of the Thiebes-Stierlin Building to remediate such conditions, such remediation has not been accomplished.

- ii. Unsanitary or Unsafe Conditions. The Redevelopment Area is characterized by several serious unsanitary and unsafe conditions. The accumulation of dirt and dust in the Area due to deferred maintenance, together with the littering of the property with garbage and the ineffective ventilation systems, increases the risk of illness and creates an unsanitary environment for living or working. The lack of secure access points and the existence of damaged or broken building components constitute unsafe conditions, as they pose a potential threat to personal property and safety.
 - iii. Existence of Conditions which Endanger Lives or Property by Fire and Other Causes. Endangerment by fire and other causes is typically due to the presence of structures below minimum code standards. Such code standards include building, housing, property maintenance, fire, environmental or other governmental codes applicable to a particular property. The principal purpose of such codes is to require buildings to be constructed and maintained so that they will have the capacity to support the type of occupancy, and necessary fire and similar hazard protection, or to establish the minimum standards essential for safe and sanitary use, occupation, and/or habitation.
- Due to the deterioration of site improvements of the Redevelopment Area, the Area suffers from endangerment by fire and/or other causes. The Area lacks contemporary safety, access, and other security measures in several places. These unsafe conditions endanger life and property and render the vast majority of the Area uninhabitable for modern commercial or residential uses.
- iv. Menace to the Public Health, Safety, Morals or Welfare. As discussed above, the Redevelopment Area exhibits many factors which constitute a menace to the public health, safety, morals, or welfare in its present condition and use. The deteriorating, unsanitary, and unsafe site conditions as illustrated above represent a menace to the public health and safety; the economic liability of the deteriorated or obsolete structures discussed above represents a menace to the public welfare.

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL LIABILITY

Due to the predominance of blighting factors discussed above, the Area in its current condition is a significant liability to the social welfare and economic independence of the City. As noted above, the Area suffers from obvious neglect and a clear lack of investment. This disparity has fostered a state of economic obsolescence as the properties are no longer marketable because of their condition and location, and have become an economic burden to the City. Deterioration and subsequent obsolescence of the Area has contributed to the lack of physical maintenance, abandonment, and excessive vacancy.

The Area in its current condition hampers the economic vitality and independence of the City by failing to generate tax revenue and discouraging reinvestment in or maintenance of this property. The Area's physical condition and level of underutilization, combined with the vacancy of the Building, diminishes its potential to generate property tax revenues for the City up to its full potential. Without the comprehensive renovation and redevelopment of the Area, its physical condition will continue to deteriorate and its economic efficiency will continue to decrease.

The Redevelopment Area has been vacated with deferred maintenance, and it has become further blighted. It needs to be redeveloped in order for it to become less of an economic burden. The above blighting factors and conditions have fostered economic obsolescence in the Area. The type of economic underutilization seen in the Redevelopment Area has been recognized as a blighting condition by the Missouri Supreme Court case Tierney v. Planned Industrial Expansion Authority of Kansas City, Missouri, 742 S.W. 2d 146, 151 (Mo. 1987).

In Tierney at 151, the Court stated:

... (10) The owners, finally, attack the concept of "economic underutilization" ... They suggest that almost all land could be put to a higher and better use, and argue that the concept of economic under-utilization is so broad...

We do not find the fault or the danger perceived. The concept of urban redevelopment has gone far beyond "slum clearance" and the concept of economic underutilization is a valid one. This is explicit in State ex rel. Atkinson v. Planned Industrial Expansion Authority of St. Louis, 517 S.W.2d 36 (Mo. Banc 1975), sustaining the statutes governing this case. Centrally located urban land is scarce. The problems of assembling tracts of sufficient size to attract developers, and of clearing uneconomic structures, are substantial and serious... We need not repeat all of the evidence which has before the city council tending to show that redevelopment of this area would promote a higher level of economic activity, increased employment, and greater services to the public...

The economic underutilization of the properties contribute to the eligibility of the Redevelopment Area. The comprehensive redevelopment of the sites will foster much needed economic activity and add to the success of City.

The primary tenants of both buildings have vacated the premises. These vacancies have resulted in the continued lack of maintenance and corresponding physical deterioration of the Area, which problems can only be remediated by the type of comprehensive redevelopment such as is a part of the Redevelopment Project. If such physical deterioration is allowed to continue, the Area, in addition to failing to generate tax revenue and economic activity anywhere near its potential, will become a financial burden on the City.

Exhibit 1
Blight Analysis

BLIGHT ANALYSIS
Ludwig Lofts
Redevelopment Area
City of St. Louis, Missouri




Exhibit 2
Photographs of Conditions in the Area









**APPENDIX 4
LUDWIG LOFTS TIF REDEVELOPMENT PLAN
ANTICIPATED REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT SCHEDULE**

First TIF Commission Meeting	11/9/05
Submit Redevelopment Plan to TIF Commission (at least 45 days prior to public hearing)	11/16/05
Mailing of Notice of TIF Commission Public Hearing to Taxing Districts (not less than 45 days prior to hearing) (RSMo. 99.830.3)	11/23/05
First Publication of Notice of TIF Commission Public Hearing (not more than 30 days prior to hearing) (RSMo. 99.830.1)	12/14/05
Written Notice to Property Owners (not less than 10 days prior to public hearing) (RSMo. 99.830.3)	12/14/05
Second Publication of Notice of TIF Commission Public Hearing (not more than 10 days prior to public hearing) (RSMo. 99.830.1)	12/28/05
Public Hearing by TIF Commission (RSMO. '99.825)	1/11/06
TIF Commission Recommendation to Board of Aldermen (within 90 days of TIF Public Hearing) (RSMo. 99.820.3)	1/11/06
TIF Ordinances Introduced adopting plan, approving project, establishing district, establishing special allocation fund, approving redevelopment agreement and authorizing issuance of TIF Notes (between 14 and 90 days after hearing) (RSMo. 99.820.1[1])	1/27/06
HUDZ Committee Hearing on TIF Ordinances	2/1/06
Second Reading of TIF Ordinances	2/3/06
Perfection of Board Bill(s)	2/10/06
Third Reading and Final Passage of TIF Ordinances	2/17/06
Mayor Signs Bills	3/1/06
Full Construction Commences	6/1/06
Construction Complete	1/1/08

**APPENDIX 5
LUDWIG LOFTS TIF REDEVELOPMENT PLAN
CURRENT AND HISTORICAL INFORMATION CONCERNING THE EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUE
OF REDEVELOPMENT AREA AND ECONOMIC ACTIVITY TAXES
WITHIN THE REDEVELOPMENT AREA**

<u>Street Address</u>	<u>Tax ID</u>	<u>Equalized Assessed Value</u>
1004 Olive Street	02790000400	\$ 62,100
1006 Olive Street	02790000300	\$ 71,300

HISTORY OF ASSESSED VALUE

TERM	AV	% CHANGE
2001	\$ 55,100	
2002	\$ 55,100	0.0%
2003	\$ 91,000	65.2%
2004	\$ 91,000	0.0%
2005	\$ 133,400	46.6%

Information concerning Economic Activity Taxes (EATs) is non-public and thus, not available at this time.

APPENDIX 6
LUDWIG LOFTS TIF REDEVELOPMENT PLAN
DEVELOPER'S AFFIDAVIT

11/14/05 18:23 FROM-

T-183 P02/02 U-348

STATE OF MISSOURI)
)
CITY OF ST. LOUIS)

AFFIDAVIT

I, the undersigned, am over the age of 18 years and have personal knowledge of matters stated herein.

The undersigned swears, affirms and certifies the following to be true to induce the approval of Tax Increment Financing for the Redevelopment Area described in the Ludwig Lofts Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Plan, dated November 16, 2005 (the "Redevelopment Plan").

1. I am a duly authorized representative of Ludwig Partners, LLC (the "Developer"), and am authorized by the Developer to attest to the matters set forth herein.

2. I am familiar with the Redevelopment Area described in the Redevelopment Plan. In my opinion, based on the factors set forth in the Redevelopment Plan, the Redevelopment Area, on the whole, qualifies as a "blighted area" as defined in Section 99.805(3) of the Missouri Revised Statutes (2000), and has not been subject to growth and development through investment by private enterprise and would not reasonably be anticipated to be developed without the adoption of tax increment financing.

And Further Affiant Sayeth Not.

LUDWIG PARTNERS, LLC

By: *Craig Heller*
Name: Craig Heller

Subscribe and sworn to before me this 14th day of November 2005.

Sheila A. Lloyd
Notary Public

My Commission Expires: Dec. 22, 2007

SHERILA A. LLOYD
Notary Public - Notary Seal
STATE OF MISSOURI
St. Louis County
My Commission Expires: Dec. 22, 2007

1967923.02

1

11/14/2005 MON 17:20 [TX/RX NO 8431] 002

APPENDIX 7
LUDWIG LOFTS TIF REDEVELOPMENT PLAN
EVIDENCE OF COMMITMENT TO FINANCE PROJECT COSTS



Community Development Corporation
1222 Washington, Suite 200
St. Louis, MO 63103
314 418-0809 fax

August 27, 2004

Mr. Craig Heller
LoftWorks, L.L.C.
1006 Olive Street
St. Louis, MO 63101

Re: Proposed TIF Project at 1004-1006 Olive Street

Dear Mr. Heller:

The purpose of this letter is to reiterate U.S. Bank's commitment to provide financing for your proposed project to renovate and rehabilitate the existing structures located at 1004-1006 Olive Street in the City of St. Louis (the "Project"), subject to final review and approval by the Bank's Credit Committee.

As we have discussed, financing of the Project would not be feasible without the assistance of tax increment financing. Therefore, please be advised that we are excited to provide financing for the Project should the City of St. Louis issue the necessary tax increment financing.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Very truly yours,

Marc Hirshman

ST_LOUIS_1222749-v2-Ludwig Lofts Financing Commitment Letter.doc

1


NO. 602 P. 2

AUG. 27. 2004 10:48AM U. S. BANK

APPENDIX 8
LUDWIG LOFTS TIF REDEVELOPMENT PLAN
GENERAL LAND USES TO APPLY


GENERAL LAND USE

Ludwig Lofts
Redevelopment Area
City of St. Louis, Missouri



EXISTING LAND USE

Ludwig Lofts
Redevelopment Area
City of St. Louis, Missouri



**APPENDIX 9
LUDWIG LOFTS TIF REDEVELOPMENT PLAN
REAL PROPERTY TAX INCREMENT ALLOCATION ACT**

SECTIONS 99.800 to 99.865, MISSOURI REVISED STATUTES

Law, how cited.

99.800. Sections 99.800 to 99.865 shall be known and may be cited as the "Real Property Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act".

(L. 1982 H.B. 1411 & 1587 § 1)

Definitions.

99.805. As used in sections 99.800 to 99.865, unless the context clearly requires otherwise, the following terms shall mean:

(1) "Blighted area", an area which, by reason of the predominance of defective or inadequate street layout, unsanitary or unsafe conditions, deterioration of site improvements, improper subdivision or obsolete platting, or the existence of conditions which endanger life or property by fire and other causes, or any combination of such factors, retards the provision of housing accommodations or constitutes an economic or social liability or a menace to the public health, safety, morals, or welfare in its present condition and use;

(2) "Collecting officer", the officer of the municipality responsible for receiving and processing payments in lieu of taxes or economic activity taxes from taxpayers or the department of revenue;

(3) "Conservation area", any improved area within the boundaries of a redevelopment area located within the territorial limits of a municipality in which fifty percent or more of the structures in the area have an age of thirty-five years or more. Such an area is not yet a blighted area but is detrimental to the public health, safety, morals, or welfare and may become a blighted area because of any one or more of the following factors: dilapidation; obsolescence; deterioration; illegal use of individual structures; presence of structures below minimum code standards; abandonment; excessive vacancies; overcrowding of structures and community facilities; lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities; inadequate utilities; excessive land coverage; deleterious land use or layout; depreciation of physical maintenance; and lack of community planning. A conservation area shall meet at least three of the factors provided in this subdivision for projects approved on or after December 23, 1997;

(4) "Economic activity taxes", the total additional revenue from taxes which are imposed by a municipality and other taxing districts, and which are generated by economic activities within a redevelopment area over the amount of such taxes generated by economic activities within such redevelopment area in the calendar year prior to the adoption of the ordinance designating such a redevelopment area, while tax increment financing remains in effect, but excluding personal property taxes, taxes imposed on sales or charges for sleeping rooms paid by transient guests of hotels and motels, licenses, fees or special assessments. For redevelopment projects or redevelopment plans approved after December 23, 1997, if a retail establishment relocates within one year from one facility to another facility within the same county and the governing body of the municipality finds that the relocation is a direct beneficiary of tax increment financing, then for purposes of this definition, the economic activity taxes generated by the retail establishment shall equal the total additional revenues from economic activity taxes which are imposed by a municipality or other taxing district over the amount of economic activity taxes generated by the retail establishment in the calendar year prior to its relocation to the redevelopment area;

(5) "Economic development area", any area or portion of an area located within the territorial limits of a municipality, which does not meet the requirements of subdivisions (1) and (3) of this section, and in which the governing body of the municipality finds that redevelopment will not be solely used for development of commercial businesses which unfairly compete in the local economy and is in the public interest because it will:

- (a) Discourage commerce, industry or manufacturing from moving their operations to another state; or
- (b) Result in increased employment in the municipality; or
- (c) Result in preservation or enhancement of the tax base of the municipality;

(6) "Gambling establishment", an excursion gambling boat as defined in section 313.800, RSMo, and any related business facility including any real property improvements which are directly and solely related to such business facility, whose sole purpose is to provide goods or services to a n excursion gambling boat and whose majority ownership interest is held by a person licensed to conduct gambling games on an excursion gambling boat or licensed to operate an excursion gambling boat as provided in sections 313.800 to 313.850, RSMo. This subdivision shall be applicable only to a redevelopment area designated by ordinance adopted after December 23, 1997;

(7) "Municipality", a city, village, or incorporated town or any county of this state. For redevelopment areas or projects approved on or after December 23, 1997, "municipality" applies only to cities, villages, incorporated towns or counties established for at least one year prior to such date;

(8) "Obligations", bonds, loans, debentures, notes, special certificates, or other evidences of indebtedness issued by a municipality to carry out a redevelopment project or to refund outstanding obligations;

(9) "Ordinance", an ordinance enacted by the governing body of a city, town, or village or a county or an order of the governing body of a county whose governing body is not authorized to enact ordinances;

(10) "Payment in lieu of taxes", those estimated revenues from real property in the area selected for a redevelopment project, which revenues according to the redevelopment project or plan are to be used for a private use, which taxing districts would have received had a municipality not adopted tax increment allocation financing, and which would result from levies made after the time of the adoption of tax increment allocation financing during the time the current equalized value of real property in the area selected for the redevelopment project exceeds the total initial equalized value of real property in such area until the designation is terminated pursuant to subsection 2 of section 99.850;

(11) "Redevelopment area", an area designated by a municipality, in respect to which the municipality has made a finding that there exist conditions which cause the area to be classified as a blighted area, a conservation area, an economic development area, an enterprise zone pursuant to sections 135.200 to 135.256, RSMo, or a combination thereof, which area includes only those parcels of real property directly and substantially benefitted by the proposed redevelopment project;

(12) "Redevelopment plan", the comprehensive program of a municipality for redevelopment intended by the payment of redevelopment costs to reduce or eliminate those conditions, the existence of which qualified the redevelopment area as a blighted area, conservation area, economic development area, or combination thereof, and to thereby enhance the tax bases of the taxing districts which extend into the redevelopment area. Each redevelopment plan shall conform to the requirements of section 99.810;

(13) "Redevelopment project", any development project within a redevelopment area in furtherance of the objectives of the redevelopment plan; any such redevelopment project shall include a legal description of the area selected for the redevelopment project;

(14) "Redevelopment project costs" include the sum total of all reasonable or necessary costs incurred or estimated to be incurred, and any such costs incidental to a redevelopment plan or redevelopment project, as applicable. Such costs include, but are not limited to, the following:

- (a) Costs of studies, surveys, plans, and specifications;
- (b) Professional service costs, including, but not limited to, architectural, engineering, legal, marketing, financial, planning or special services. Except the reasonable costs incurred by the commission established in section 99.820 for the administration of sections 99.800 to 99.865, such costs shall be allowed only as an initial expense which, to be recoverable, shall be included in the costs of a redevelopment plan or project;
- (c) Property assembly costs, including, but not limited to, acquisition of land and other property, real or personal, or rights or interests therein, demolition of buildings, and the clearing and grading of land;
- (d) Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, or repair or remodeling of existing buildings and fixtures;
- (e) Initial costs for an economic development area; (f) Costs of construction of public works or improvements;

- (g) Financing costs, including, but not limited to, all necessary and incidental expenses related to the issuance of obligations, and which may include payment of interest on any obligations issued pursuant to sections 99.800 to 99.865 accruing during the estimated period of construction of any redevelopment project for which such obligations are issued and for not more than eighteen months thereafter, and including reasonable reserves related thereto;
- (h) All or a portion of a taxing district's capital costs resulting from the redevelopment project necessarily incurred or to be incurred in furtherance of the objectives of the redevelopment plan and project, to the extent the municipality by written agreement accepts and approves such costs;
- (i) Relocation costs to the extent that a municipality determines that relocation costs shall be paid or are required to be paid by federal or state law;
- (j) Payments in lieu of taxes;

(15) "Special allocation fund", the fund of a municipality or its commission which contains at least two separate segregated accounts for each redevelopment plan, maintained by the treasurer of the municipality or the treasurer of the commission into which payments in lieu of taxes are deposited in one account, and economic activity taxes and other revenues are deposited in the other account;

(16) "Taxing districts", any political subdivision of this state having the power to levy taxes;

(17) "Taxing districts' capital costs", those costs of taxing districts for capital improvements that are found by the municipal governing bodies to be necessary and to directly result from the redevelopment project; and

(18) "Vacant land", any parcel or combination of parcels of real property not used for industrial, commercial, or residential buildings.

(L. 1982 H.B. 1411 & 1587 § 2, A.L. 1986 H.B. 989 & 1390 merged with S.B. 664, A.L. 1991 H.B. 502, A.L. 1997 2d Ex. Sess. S.B. 1)

Effective 12-23-97

Redevelopment plan, contents, adoption of plan, required findings --time limitations--reports by department of economic development, required when, contents.

99.810. 1. Each redevelopment plan shall set forth in writing a general description of the program to be undertaken to accomplish the objectives and shall include, but need not be limited to, the estimated redevelopment project costs, the anticipated sources of funds to pay the costs, evidence of the commitments to finance the project costs, the anticipated type and term of the sources of funds to pay costs, the anticipated type and terms of the obligations to be issued, the most recent equalized assessed valuation of the property within the redevelopment area which is to be subjected to payments in lieu of taxes and economic activity taxes pursuant to section 99.845, an estimate as to the equalized assessed valuation after redevelopment, and the general land uses to apply in the redevelopment area. No redevelopment plan shall be adopted by a municipality without findings that:

(1) The redevelopment area on the whole is a blighted area, a conservation area, or an economic development area, and has not been subject to growth and development through investment by private enterprise and would not reasonably be anticipated to be developed without the adoption of tax increment financing. Such a finding shall include, but not be limited to, a detailed description of the factors that qualify the redevelopment area or project pursuant to this subdivision and an affidavit, signed by the developer or developers and submitted with the redevelopment plan, attesting that the provisions of this subdivision have been met;

(2) The redevelopment plan conforms to the comprehensive plan for the development of the municipality as a whole;

(3) The estimated dates, which shall not be more than twenty-three years from the adoption of the ordinance approving a redevelopment project within a redevelopment area, of completion of any redevelopment project and retirement of obligations incurred to finance redevelopment project costs have been stated, provided that no ordinance approving a redevelopment project shall be adopted later than ten years from the adoption of the ordinance approving the redevelopment plan under which such project is authorized and provided that no property for a redevelopment project shall be acquired by eminent domain later than five

years from the adoption of the ordinance approving such redevelopment project;

(4) A plan has been developed for relocation assistance for businesses and residences;

(5) A cost-benefit analysis showing the economic impact of the plan on each taxing district which is at least partially within the boundaries of the redevelopment area. The analysis shall show the impact on the economy if the project is not built, and is built pursuant to the redevelopment plan under consideration. The cost-benefit analysis shall include a fiscal impact study on every affected political subdivision, and sufficient information from the developer for the commission established in section 99.820 to evaluate whether the project as proposed is financially feasible;

(6) A finding that the plan does not include the initial development or redevelopment of any gambling establishment, provided however, that this subdivision shall be applicable only to a redevelopment plan adopted for a redevelopment area designated by ordinance after December 23, 1997.

2. By the last day of February each year, each commission shall report to the director of economic development the name, address, phone number and primary line of business of any business which relocates to the district. The director of the department of economic development shall compile and report the same to the governor, the speaker of the house and the president pro tempore of the senate on the last day of April each year.

(L. 1982 H.B. 1411 & 1587 § 3 subsec. 1, A.L. 1986 S.B. 664 merged with H.B. 989 & 1390, A.L. 1987 S.B. 367 Revision, A.L. 1991 H.B. 502, A.L. 1993 H.B. 566, A.L. 1997 2d Ex. Sess. S.B. 1)

Effective 12-23-97

County implementing project within boundaries of municipality, permission required--definition of municipality to include county.

99.815. When a county of this state desires to implement a tax increment financing project within the boundaries of a municipality partially or totally within the county, such county shall first obtain the permission of the governing body of the municipality located within the county. When the term "municipality" is used within sections 99.800 to 99.865, such term may be interpreted to include a county implementing a tax incremental financing project.

(L. 1982 H.B. 1411 & 1587 § 3 subsec. 2)

Municipalities' powers and duties--commission appointment and powers--public disclosure requirements--officials' conflict of interest, prohibited.

99.820. 1. A municipality may:

(1) By ordinance introduced in the governing body of the municipality within fourteen to ninety days from the completion of the hearing required in section 99.825, approve redevelopment plans and redevelopment projects, and designate redevelopment project areas pursuant to the notice and hearing requirements of sections 99.800 to 99.865. No redevelopment project shall be approved unless a redevelopment plan has been approved and a redevelopment area has been designated prior to or concurrently with the approval of such redevelopment project and the area selected for the redevelopment project shall include only those parcels of real property and improvements thereon directly and substantially benefited by the proposed redevelopment project improvements;

(2) Make and enter into all contracts necessary or incidental to the implementation and furtherance of its redevelopment plan or project;

(3) Pursuant to a redevelopment plan, subject to any constitutional limitations, acquire by purchase, donation, lease or, as part of a redevelopment project, eminent domain, own, convey, lease, mortgage, or dispose of, land and other property, real or personal, or rights or interests therein, and grant or acquire licenses, easements and options with respect thereto, all in the manner and at such price the municipality or the commission determines is reasonably necessary to achieve the objectives of the redevelopment plan. No conveyance, lease, mortgage, disposition of land or other property, acquired by the municipality, or agreement relating to the development of the property shall be made except upon the adoption of an ordinance by the governing body of the municipality. Each municipality or its commission shall establish written procedures relating to bids and proposals for implementation of the redevelopment projects. Furthermore, no conveyance, lease, mortgage, or other disposition of land or

agreement relating to the development of property shall be made without making public disclosure of the terms of the disposition and all bids and proposals made in response to the municipality's request. Such procedures for obtaining such bids and proposals shall provide reasonable opportunity for any person to submit alternative proposals or bids;

- (4) Within a redevelopment area, clear any area by demolition or removal of existing buildings and structures;
- (5) Within a redevelopment area, renovate, rehabilitate, or construct any structure or building;
- (6) Install, repair, construct, reconstruct, or relocate streets, utilities, and site improvements essential to the preparation of the redevelopment area for use in accordance with a redevelopment plan;
- (7) Within a redevelopment area, fix, charge, and collect fees, rents, and other charges for the use of any building or property owned or leased by it or any part thereof, or facility therein;
- (8) Accept grants, guarantees, and donations of property, labor, or other things of value from a public or private source for use within a redevelopment area;
- (9) Acquire and construct public facilities within a redevelopment area;
- (10) Incur redevelopment costs and issue obligations;
- (11) Make payment in lieu of taxes, or a portion thereof, to taxing districts;
- (12) Disburse surplus funds from the special allocation fund to taxing districts as follows:
 - (a) Such surplus payments in lieu of taxes shall be distributed to taxing districts within the redevelopment area which impose ad valorem taxes on a basis that is proportional to the current collections of revenue which each taxing district receives from real property in the redevelopment area;
 - (b) Surplus economic activity taxes shall be distributed to taxing districts in the redevelopment area which impose economic activity taxes, on a basis that is proportional to the amount of such economic activity taxes the taxing district would have received from the redevelopment area had tax increment financing not been adopted;
 - (c) Surplus revenues, other than payments in lieu of taxes and economic activity taxes, deposited in the special allocation fund, shall be distributed on a basis that is proportional to the total receipt of such other revenues in such account in the year prior to disbursement;
- (13) If any member of the governing body of the municipality, a member of a commission established pursuant to subsection 2 of this section, or an employee or consultant of the municipality, involved in the planning and preparation of a redevelopment plan, or redevelopment project for a redevelopment area or proposed redevelopment area, owns or controls an interest, direct or indirect, in any property included in any redevelopment area, or proposed redevelopment area, which property is designated to be acquired or improved pursuant to a redevelopment project, he or she shall disclose the same in writing to the clerk of the municipality, and shall also so disclose the dates, terms, and conditions of any disposition of any such interest, which disclosures shall be acknowledged by the governing body of the municipality and entered upon the minutes books of the governing body of the municipality. If an individual holds such an interest, then that individual shall refrain from any further official involvement in regard to such redevelopment plan, redevelopment project or redevelopment area, from voting on any matter pertaining to such redevelopment plan, redevelopment project or redevelopment area, or communicating with other members concerning any matter pertaining to that redevelopment plan, redevelopment project or redevelopment area. Furthermore, no such member or employee shall acquire any interest, direct or indirect, in any property in a redevelopment area or proposed redevelopment area after either (a) such individual obtains knowledge of such plan or project, or (b) first public notice of such plan, project or area pursuant to section 99.830, whichever first occurs;
- (14) Charge as a redevelopment cost the reasonable costs incurred by its clerk or other official in administering the redevelopment project. The charge for the clerk's or other official's costs shall be determined by the municipality based on a recommendation from the commission, created pursuant to this section.

2. Prior to adoption of an ordinance approving the designation of a redevelopment area or approving a redevelopment plan or redevelopment project, the municipality shall create a commission of nine persons if the municipality is a county or a city not within a county and not a first class county with a charter form of government with a population in excess of nine hundred thousand, and eleven persons if the municipality is not a county and not in a first class county with a charter form of government having a population of more than nine hundred thousand, and twelve persons if the municipality is located in or is a first class county with a charter form of government having a population of more than nine hundred thousand, to be appointed as follows:

(1) In all municipalities two members shall be appointed by the school boards whose districts are included within the redevelopment plan or redevelopment area. Such members shall be appointed in any manner agreed upon by the affected districts;

(2) In all municipalities one member shall be appointed, in any manner agreed upon by the affected districts, to represent all other districts levying ad valorem taxes within the area selected for a redevelopment project or the redevelopment area, excluding representatives of the governing body of the municipality;

(3) In all municipalities six members shall be appointed by the chief elected officer of the municipality, with the consent of the majority of the governing body of the municipality;

(4) In all municipalities which are not counties and not in a first class county with a charter form of government having a population in excess of nine hundred thousand, two members shall be appointed by the county of such municipality in the same manner as members are appointed in subdivision (3) of this subsection;

(5) In a municipality which is a county with a charter form of government having a population in excess of nine hundred thousand, three members shall be appointed by the cities in the county which have tax increment financing districts in a manner in which the cities shall agree;

(6) In a municipality which is located in the first class county with a charter form of government having a population in excess of nine hundred thousand, three members shall be appointed by the county of such municipality in the same manner as members are appointed in subdivision (3) of this subsection;

(7) At the option of the members appointed by the municipality, the members who are appointed by the school boards and other taxing districts may serve on the commission for a term to coincide with the length of time a redevelopment project, redevelopment plan or designation of a redevelopment area is considered for approval by the commission, or for a definite term pursuant to this subdivision. If the members representing school districts and other taxing districts are appointed for a term coinciding with the length of time a redevelopment project, plan or area is approved, such term shall terminate upon final approval of the project, plan or designation of the area by the governing body of the municipality. Thereafter the commission shall consist of the six members appointed by the municipality, except that members representing school boards and other taxing districts shall be appointed as provided in this section prior to any amendments to any redevelopment plans, redevelopment projects or designation of a redevelopment area. If any school district or other taxing jurisdiction fails to appoint members of the commission within thirty days of receipt of written notice of a proposed redevelopment plan, redevelopment project or designation of a redevelopment area, the remaining members may proceed to exercise the power of the commission. Of the members first appointed by the municipality, two shall be designated to serve for terms of two years, two shall be designated to serve for a term of three years and two shall be designated to serve for a term of four years from the date of such initial appointments. Thereafter, the members appointed by the municipality shall serve for a term of four years, except that all vacancies shall be filled for unexpired terms in the same manner as were the original appointments.

3. The commission, subject to approval of the governing body of the municipality, may exercise the powers enumerated in sections 99.800 to 99.865, except final approval of plans, projects and designation of redevelopment areas. The commission shall hold public hearings and provide notice pursuant to sections 99.825 and 99.830. The commission shall vote on all proposed redevelopment plans, redevelopment projects and designations of redevelopment areas, and amendments thereto, within thirty days following completion of the hearing on any such plan, project or designation and shall make recommendations to the governing body within ninety days of the hearing referred to in section 99.825 concerning the adoption of or amendment to redevelopment plans and redevelopment projects and the designation of redevelopment areas. The requirements of subsection 2 of this section and this subsection shall not apply to redevelopment projects upon which the required hearings have been duly held prior to August 31, 1991.

(L. 1982 H.B. 1411 & 1587 § 3 subsec. 3, A.L. 1991 H.B. 502, A.L. 1997 2d Ex. Sess. S.B. 1, A.L. 1998 S.B. 707 & 484, A.L. 2003 S.B. 11)

(2000) Proposed city charter amendment requiring two-thirds voter approval on every tax increment financing measure violated section and thus was unconstitutional pursuant to article VI, section 19(a). State ex rel. Hazelwood Yellow Ribbon Committee v. Klos, 35 S.W.3d 457 (Mo.App.E.D.).

Adoption of ordinance for redevelopment, public hearing required --objection procedure--hearing and notices not required, when --restrictions on certain projects.

99.825. 1. Prior to the adoption of an ordinance proposing the designation of a redevelopment area, or approving a redevelopment plan or redevelopment project, the commission shall fix a time and place for a public hearing and notify each taxing district located wholly or partially within the boundaries of the proposed redevelopment area, plan or project. At the public hearing any interested person or affected taxing district may file with the commission written objections to, or comments on, and may be heard orally in respect to, any issues embodied in the notice. The commission shall hear and consider all protests, objections, comments and other evidence presented at the hearing. The hearing may be continued to another date without further notice other than a motion to be entered upon the minutes fixing the time and place of the subsequent hearing. Prior to the conclusion of the hearing, changes may be made in the redevelopment plan, redevelopment project, or redevelopment area, provided that each affected taxing district is given written notice of such changes at least seven days prior to the conclusion of the hearing. After the public hearing but prior to the adoption of an ordinance approving a redevelopment plan or redevelopment project, or designating a redevelopment area, changes may be made to the redevelopment plan, redevelopment projects or redevelopment areas without a further hearing, if such changes do not enlarge the exterior boundaries of the redevelopment area or areas, and do not substantially affect the general land uses established in the redevelopment plan or substantially change the nature of the redevelopment projects, provided that notice of such changes shall be given by mail to each affected taxing district and by publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the area of the proposed redevelopment not less than ten days prior to the adoption of the changes by ordinance. After the adoption of an ordinance approving a redevelopment plan or redevelopment project, or designating a redevelopment area, no ordinance shall be adopted altering the exterior boundaries, affecting the general land uses established pursuant to the redevelopment plan or changing the nature of the redevelopment project without complying with the procedures provided in this section pertaining to the initial approval of a redevelopment plan or redevelopment project and designation of a redevelopment area. Hearings with regard to a redevelopment project, redevelopment area, or redevelopment plan may be held simultaneously.

2. Tax incremental financing projects within an economic development area shall apply to and fund only the following infrastructure projects: highways, roads, streets, bridges, sewers, traffic control systems and devices, water distribution and supply systems, curbing, sidewalks and any other similar public improvements, but in no case shall it include buildings.

(L. 1982 H.B. 1411 & 1587 § 4, A.L. 1986 S.B. 664 merged with H.B. 989 & 1390, A.L. 1991 H.B. 502, A.L. 1997 2d Ex. Sess. S.B. 1)

Effective 12-23-97

Notice of public hearings, publication and mailing requirements, contents.

99.830. 1. Notice of the public hearing required by section 99.825 shall be given by publication and mailing. Notice by publication shall be given by publication at least twice, the first publication to be not more than thirty days and the second publication to be not more than ten days prior to the hearing, in a newspaper of general circulation in the area of the proposed redevelopment. Notice by mailing shall be given by depositing such notice in the United States mail by certified mail addressed to the person or persons in whose name the general taxes for the last preceding year were paid on each lot, block, tract, or parcel of land lying within the redevelopment project or redevelopment area which is to be subjected to the payment or payments in lieu of taxes and economic activity taxes pursuant to section 99.845. Such notice shall be mailed not less than ten days prior to the date set for the public hearing. In the event taxes for the last preceding year were not paid, the notice shall also be sent to the persons last listed on the tax rolls within the preceding three years as the owners of such property.

2. The notices issued pursuant to this section shall include the following:

- (1) The time and place of the public hearing;
- (2) The general boundaries of the proposed redevelopment area or redevelopment project by street location, where possible;
- (3) A statement that all interested persons shall be given an opportunity to be heard at the public hearing;
- (4) A description of the proposed redevelopment plan or redevelopment project and a location and time where the entire plan or project proposal may be reviewed by any interested party;

(5) Such other matters as the commission may deem appropriate.

3. Not less than forty-five days prior to the date set for the public hearing, the commission shall give notice by mail as provided in subsection 1 of this section to all taxing districts from which taxable property is included in the redevelopment area, redevelopment project or redevelopment plan, and in addition to the other requirements pursuant to subsection 2 of this section, the notice shall include an invitation to each taxing district to submit comments to the commission concerning the subject matter of the hearing prior to the date of the hearing.

4. A copy of any and all hearing notices required by section 99.825 shall be submitted by the commission to the director of the department of economic development. Such submission of the copy of the hearing notice shall comply with the prior notice requirements pursuant to subsection 3 of this section.

(L. 1982 H.B. 1411 & 1587 § 5, A.L. 1991 H.B. 502, A.L. 1993 H.B. 566, A.L. 1997 2d Ex. Sess. S.B. 1)

Effective 12-23-97

Secured obligations authorized--interest rates--how retired--sale --approval by electors not required--surplus fund distribution -- exception--county collectors' and municipal treasurers' duties--no personal liability for commission, municipality or state.

99.835. 1. Obligations secured by the special allocation fund set forth in sections 99.845 and 99.850 for the redevelopment area or redevelopment project may be issued by the municipality pursuant to section 99.820 or by the tax increment financing commission to provide for redevelopment costs. Such obligations, when so issued, shall be retired in the manner provided in the ordinance or resolution authorizing the issuance of such obligations by the receipts of payments in lieu of taxes as specified in section 99.855 and, subject to annual appropriation, other tax revenue as specified in section 99.845. A municipality may, in the ordinance or resolution, pledge all or any part of the funds in and to be deposited in the special allocation fund created pursuant to sections 99.845 and 99.850 to the payment of the redevelopment costs and obligations. Any pledge of funds in the special allocation fund may provide for distribution to the taxing districts of moneys not required for payment of redevelopment costs or obligations and such excess funds shall be deemed to be surplus funds, except that any moneys allocated to the special allocation fund as provided in subsection 4 of section 99.845, and which are not required for payment of redevelopment costs and obligations, shall not be distributed to the taxing districts but shall be returned to the department of economic development for credit to the general revenue fund. In the event a municipality only pledges a portion of the funds in the special allocation fund for the payment of redevelopment costs or obligations, any such funds remaining in the special allocation fund after complying with the requirements of the pledge, including the retention of funds for the payment of future redevelopment costs, if so required, shall also be deemed surplus funds. All surplus funds shall be distributed annually to the taxing districts in the redevelopment area by being paid by the municipal treasurer to the county collector who shall immediately thereafter make distribution as provided in subdivision (12) of section 99.820.

2. Without limiting the provisions of subsection 1 of this section, the municipality may, in addition to obligations secured by the special allocation fund, pledge any part or any combination of net new revenues of any redevelopment project, or a mortgage on part or all of the redevelopment project to secure its obligations or other redevelopment costs.

3. Obligations issued pursuant to sections 99.800 to 99.865 may be issued in one or more series bearing interest at such rate or rates as the issuing body of the municipality shall determine by ordinance or resolution. Such obligations shall bear such date or dates, mature at such time or times not exceeding twenty-three years from their respective dates, when secured by the special allocation fund, be in such denomination, carry such registration privileges, be executed in such manner, be payable in such medium of payment at such place or places, contain such covenants, terms and conditions, and be subject to redemption as such ordinance or resolution shall provide. Obligations issued pursuant to sections 99.800 to 99.865 may be sold at public or private sale at such price as shall be determined by the issuing body and shall state that obligations issued pursuant to sections 99.800 to 99.865 are special obligations payable solely from the special allocation fund or other funds specifically pledged. No referendum approval of the electors shall be required as a condition to the issuance of obligations pursuant to sections 99.800 to 99.865.

4. The ordinance authorizing the issuance of obligations may provide that the obligations shall contain a recital that they are issued pursuant to sections 99.800 to 99.865, which recital shall be conclusive evidence of their validity and of the regularity of their issuance.

5. Neither the municipality, its duly authorized commission, the commissioners or the officers of a municipality nor any person executing any obligation shall be personally liable for such obligation by reason of the issuance thereof. The obligations issued pursuant to sections 99.800 to 99.865 shall not be a general obligation of the municipality, county, state of

Missouri, or any political subdivision thereof, nor in any event shall such obligation be payable out of any funds or properties other than those specifically pledged as security therefor. The obligations shall not constitute indebtedness within the meaning of any constitutional, statutory or charter debt limitation or restriction.

(L. 1982 H.B. 1411 & 1587 § 6, A.L. 1990 H.B. 1564, A.L. 1991 H.B. 502, A.L. 1997 2d Ex. Sess. S.B. 1)

Effective 12-23-97

Obligation, refunded to pay redevelopment costs, requirements--other obligations of municipality pledged to redevelopment may qualify.

99.840. 1. A municipality may also issue its obligations to refund, in whole or in part, obligations theretofore issued by such municipality under the authority of sections 99.800 to 99.865, whether at or prior to maturity; provided, however, that the last maturity of the refunding obligations shall not be expressed to mature later than the last maturity date of the obligations to be refunded.

2. In the event a municipality issues obligations under home rule powers or other legislative authority, the proceeds of which are pledged to pay for redevelopment project costs, the municipality may, if it has followed the procedures in conformance with sections 99.800 to 99.865, retire such obligations from funds in the special allocation fund in amounts and in such manner as if such obligations had been issued pursuant to the provisions of sections 99.800 to 99.865.

(L. 1982 H.B. 1411 & 1587 § 7)

Tax increment financing adoption--division of ad valorem taxes--payments in lieu of tax, deposit, inclusion and exclusion of current equalized assessed valuation for certain purposes, when--other taxes included, amount-supplemental tax increment financing fund established, disbursement.

99.845. 1. A municipality, either at the time a redevelopment project is approved or, in the event a municipality has undertaken acts establishing a redevelopment plan and redevelopment project and has designated a redevelopment area after the passage and approval of sections 99.800 to 99.865 but prior to August 13, 1982, which acts are in conformance with the procedures of sections 99.800 to 99.865, may adopt tax increment allocation financing by passing an ordinance providing that after the total equalized assessed valuation of the taxable real property in a redevelopment project exceeds the certified total initial equalized assessed valuation of the taxable real property in the redevelopment project, the ad valorem taxes, and payments in lieu of taxes, if any, arising from the levies upon taxable real property in such redevelopment project by taxing districts and tax rates determined in the manner provided in subsection 2 of section 99.855 each year after the effective date of the ordinance until redevelopment costs have been paid shall be divided as follows:

(1) That portion of taxes, penalties and interest levied upon each taxable lot, block, tract, or parcel of real property which is attributable to the initial equalized assessed value of each such taxable lot, block, tract, or parcel of real property in the area selected for the redevelopment project shall be allocated to and, when collected, shall be paid by the county collector to the respective affected taxing districts in the manner required by law in the absence of the adoption of tax increment allocation financing;

(2) (a) Payments in lieu of taxes attributable to the increase in the current equalized assessed valuation of each taxable lot, block, tract, or parcel of real property in the area selected for the redevelopment project and any applicable penalty and interest over and above the initial equalized assessed value of each such unit of property in the area selected for the redevelopment project shall be allocated to and, when collected, shall be paid to the municipal treasurer who shall deposit such payment in lieu of taxes into a special fund called the "Special Allocation Fund" of the municipality for the purpose of paying redevelopment costs and obligations incurred in the payment thereof. Payments in lieu of taxes which are due and owing shall constitute a lien against the real estate of the redevelopment project from which they are derived and shall be collected in the same manner as the real property tax, including the assessment of penalties and interest where applicable. The municipality may, in the ordinance, pledge the funds in the special allocation fund for the payment of such costs and obligations and provide for the collection of payments in lieu of taxes, the lien of which may be foreclosed in the same manner as a special assessment lien as provided in section 88.861, RSMo. No part of the current equalized assessed valuation of each lot, block, tract, or parcel of property in the area selected for the redevelopment project attributable to any increase above the total initial equalized assessed value of

such properties shall be used in calculating the general state school aid formula provided for in section 163.031, RSMo, until such time as all redevelopment costs have been paid as provided for in this section and section 99.850;

- (b) Notwithstanding any provisions of this section to the contrary, for purposes of determining the limitation on indebtedness of local government pursuant to article VI, section 26(b) of the Missouri Constitution, the current equalized assessed value of the property in an area selected for redevelopment attributable to the increase above the total initial equalized assessed valuation shall be included in the value of taxable tangible property as shown on the last completed assessment for state or county purposes;
- (c) The county assessor shall include the current assessed value of all property within the taxing district in the aggregate valuation of assessed property entered upon the assessor's book and verified pursuant to section 137.245, RSMo, and such value shall be utilized for the purpose of the debt limitation on local government pursuant to article VI, section 26(b) of the Missouri Constitution;

(3) For purposes of this section, "levies upon taxable real property in such redevelopment project by taxing districts" shall not include the blind pension fund tax levied under the authority of article III, section 38(b) of the Missouri Constitution, or the merchants' and manufacturers' inventory replacement tax levied under the authority of subsection 2 of section 6 of article X, of the Missouri Constitution, except in redevelopment project areas in which tax increment financing has been adopted by ordinance pursuant to a plan approved by vote of the governing body of the municipality taken after August 13, 1982, and before January 1, 1998.

2. In addition to the payments in lieu of taxes described in subdivision (2) of subsection 1 of this section, for redevelopment plans and projects adopted or redevelopment projects approved by ordinance after July 12, 1990, and prior to August 31, 1991, fifty percent of the total additional revenue from taxes, penalties and interest imposed by the municipality, or other taxing districts, which are generated by economic activities within the area of the redevelopment project over the amount of such taxes generated by economic activities within the area of the redevelopment project in the calendar year prior to the adoption of the redevelopment project by ordinance, while tax increment financing remains in effect, but excluding taxes imposed on sales or charges for sleeping rooms paid by transient guests of hotels and motels, taxes levied pursuant to section 70.500, RSMo, licenses, fees or special assessments other than payments in lieu of taxes and any penalty and interest thereon, or, effective January 1, 1998, taxes levied pursuant to section 94.660, RSMo, for the purpose of public transportation, shall be allocated to, and paid by the local political subdivision collecting officer to the treasurer or other designated financial officer of the municipality, who shall deposit such funds in a separate segregated account within the special allocation fund. Any provision of an agreement, contract or covenant entered into prior to July 12, 1990, between a municipality and any other political subdivision which provides for an appropriation of other municipal revenues to the special allocation fund shall be and remain enforceable.

3. In addition to the payments in lieu of taxes described in subdivision (2) of subsection 1 of this section, for redevelopment plans and projects adopted or redevelopment projects approved by ordinance after August 31, 1991, fifty percent of the total additional revenue from taxes, penalties and interest which are imposed by the municipality or other taxing districts, and which are generated by economic activities within the area of the redevelopment project over the amount of such taxes generated by economic activities within the area of the redevelopment project in the calendar year prior to the adoption of the redevelopment project by ordinance, while tax increment financing remains in effect, but excluding personal property taxes, taxes imposed on sales or charges for sleeping rooms paid by transient guests of hotels and motels, taxes levied pursuant to section 70.500, RSMo, or effective January 1, 1998, taxes levied for the purpose of public transportation pursuant to section 94.660, RSMo, licenses, fees or special assessments other than payments in lieu of taxes and penalties and interest thereon, shall be allocated to, and paid by the local political subdivision collecting officer to the treasurer or other designated financial officer of the municipality, who shall deposit such funds in a separate segregated account within the special allocation fund.

4. Beginning January 1, 1998, for redevelopment plans and projects adopted or redevelopment projects approved by ordinance and which have complied with subsections 4 to 12 of this section, in addition to the payments in lieu of taxes and economic activity taxes described in subsections 1, 2 and 3 of this section, up to fifty percent of the new state revenues, as defined in subsection 8 of this section, estimated for the businesses within the project area and identified by the municipality in the application required by subsection 10 of this section, over and above the amount of such taxes reported by businesses within the project area as identified by the municipality in their application prior to the approval of the redevelopment project by ordinance, while tax increment financing remains in effect, may be available for appropriation by the general assembly as provided in subsection 10 of this section to the department of economic development supplemental tax increment financing fund, from the general revenue fund, for

distribution to the treasurer or other designated financial officer of the municipality with approved plans or projects.

5. The treasurer or other designated financial officer of the municipality with approved plans or projects shall deposit such funds in a separate segregated account within the special allocation fund established pursuant to section 99.805.

6. No transfer from the general revenue fund to the Missouri supplemental tax increment financing fund shall be made unless an appropriation is made from the general revenue fund for that purpose. No municipality shall commit any state revenues prior to an appropriation being made for that project. For all redevelopment plans or projects adopted or approved after December 23, 1997, appropriations from the new state revenues shall not be distributed from the Missouri supplemental tax increment financing fund into the special allocation fund unless the municipality's redevelopment plan ensures that one hundred percent of payments in lieu of taxes and fifty percent of economic activity taxes generated by the project shall be used for eligible redevelopment project costs while tax increment financing remains in effect. This account shall be separate from the account into which payments in lieu of taxes are deposited, and separate from the account into which economic activity taxes are deposited.

7. In order for the redevelopment plan or project to be eligible to receive the revenue described in subsection 4 of this section, the municipality shall comply with the requirements of subsection 10 of this section prior to the time the project or plan is adopted or approved by ordinance. The director of the department of economic development and the commissioner of the office of administration may waive the requirement that the municipality's application be submitted prior to the redevelopment plan's or project's adoption or the redevelopment plan's or project's approval by ordinance.

8. For purposes of this section, "new state revenues" means:

(1) The incremental increase in the general revenue portion of state sales tax revenues received pursuant to section 144.020, RSMo, excluding sales taxes that are constitutionally dedicated, taxes deposited to the school district trust fund in accordance with section 144.701, RSMo, sales and use taxes on motor vehicles, trailers, boats and outboard motors and future sales taxes earmarked by law. In no event shall the incremental increase include any amounts attributable to retail sales unless the municipality or authority has proven to the Missouri development finance board and the department of economic development and such entities have made a finding that the sales tax increment attributable to retail sales is from new sources which did not exist in the state during the baseline year. The incremental increase in the general revenue portion of state sales tax revenues for an existing or relocated facility shall be the amount that current state sales tax revenue exceeds the state sales tax revenue in the base year as stated in the redevelopment plan as provided in subsection 10 of this section; or

(2) The state income tax withheld on behalf of new employees by the employer pursuant to section 143.221, RSMo, at the business located within the project as identified by the municipality. The state income tax withholding allowed by this section shall be the municipality's estimate of the amount of state income tax withheld by the employer within the redevelopment area for new employees who fill new jobs directly created by the tax increment financing project.

9. Subsection 4 of this section shall apply only to blighted areas located in enterprise zones, pursuant to sections 135.200 to 135.256, RSMo, blighted areas located in federal empowerment zones, or to blighted areas located in central business districts or urban core areas of cities which districts or urban core areas at the time of approval of the project by ordinance, provided that the enterprise zones, federal empowerment zones or blighted areas contained one or more buildings at least fifty years old; and

(1) Suffered from generally declining population or property taxes over the twenty-year period immediately preceding the area's designation as a project area by ordinance; or

(2) Was a historic hotel located in a county of the first classification without a charter form of government with a population according to the most recent federal decennial census in excess of one hundred fifty thousand and containing a portion of a city with a population according to the most recent federal decennial census in excess of three hundred fifty thousand.

10. The initial appropriation of up to fifty percent of the new state revenues authorized pursuant to subsections 4 and 5 of this section shall not be made to or distributed by the department of economic development to a municipality until all of the following conditions have been satisfied:

(1) The director of the department of economic development or his or her designee and the commissioner of the office of administration or his or her designee have approved a tax increment financing application made by the municipality for the appropriation of the new state revenues. The municipality shall include in the application the following items in addition to the items in section 99.810:

- (a) The tax increment financing district or redevelopment area, including the businesses identified within the redevelopment area;
- (b) The base year of state sales tax revenues or the base year of state income tax withheld on behalf of existing employees, reported by existing businesses within the project area prior to approval of the redevelopment project;
- (c) The estimate of the incremental increase in the general revenue portion of state sales tax revenue or the estimate for the state income tax withheld by the employer on behalf of new employees expected to fill new jobs created within the redevelopment area after redevelopment;
- (d) The official statement of any bond issue pursuant to this subsection after December 23, 1997;
- (e) An affidavit that is signed by the developer or developers attesting that the provisions of subdivision (1) of section 99.810 have been met and specifying that the redevelopment area would not be reasonably anticipated to be developed without the appropriation of the new state revenues;
- (f) The cost-benefit analysis required by section 99.810 includes a study of the fiscal impact on the state of Missouri; and
- (g) The statement of election between the use of the incremental increase of the general revenue portion of the state sales tax revenues or the state income tax withheld by employers on behalf of new employees who fill new jobs created in the redevelopment area;
- (h) The name, street and mailing address, and phone number of the mayor or chief executive officer of the municipality;
- (i) The street address of the development site;
- (j) The three-digit North American Industry Classification System number or numbers characterizing the development project;
- (k) The estimated development project costs;
- (l) The anticipated sources of funds to pay such development project costs;
- (m) Evidence of the commitments to finance such development project costs;
- (n) The anticipated type and term of the sources of funds to pay such development project costs;
- (o) The anticipated type and terms of the obligations to be issued;
- (p) The most recent equalized assessed valuation of the property within the development project area;
- (q) An estimate as to the equalized assessed valuation after the development project area is developed in accordance with a development plan;
- (r) The general land uses to apply in the development area;
- (s) The total number of individuals employed in the development area, broken down by full-time, part-time, and temporary positions;
- (t) The total number of full-time equivalent positions in the development area;

- (u) The current gross wages, state income tax withholdings, and federal income tax withholdings for individuals employed in the development area;
- (v) The total number of individuals employed in this state by the corporate parent of any business benefitting from public expenditures in the development area, and all subsidiaries thereof, as of December thirty-first of the prior fiscal year, broken down by full-time, part-time, and temporary positions;
- (w) The number of new jobs to be created by any business benefitting from public expenditures in the development area, broken down by full-time, part-time, and temporary positions;
- (x) The average hourly wage to be paid to all current and new employees at the project site, broken down by full-time, part-time, and temporary positions;
- (y) For project sites located in a metropolitan statistical area, as defined by the federal Office of Management and Budget, the average hourly wage paid to nonmanagerial employees in this state for the industries involved at the project, as established by the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics;
- (z) For project sites located outside of metropolitan statistical areas, the average weekly wage paid to nonmanagerial employees in the county for industries involved at the project, as established by the United States Department of Commerce;
 - (aa) A list of other community and economic benefits to result from the project;
 - (bb) A list of all development subsidies that any business benefitting from public expenditures in the development area has previously received for the project, and the name of any other granting body from which such subsidies are sought;
 - (cc) A list of all other public investments made or to be made by this state or units of local government to support infrastructure or other needs generated by the project for which the funding pursuant to this act** is being sought;
 - (dd) A statement as to whether the development project may reduce employment at any other site, within or without the state, resulting from automation, merger, acquisition, corporate restructuring, relocation, or other business activity;
 - (ee) A statement as to whether or not the project involves the relocation of work from another address and if so, the number of jobs to be relocated and the address from which they are to be relocated;
 - (ff) A list of competing businesses in the county containing the development area and in each contiguous county;
 - (gg) A market study for the development area;
 - (hh) A certification by the chief officer of the applicant as to the accuracy of the development plan;

(2) The methodologies used in the application for determining the base year and determining the estimate of the incremental increase in the general revenue portion of the state sales tax revenues or the state income tax withheld by employers on behalf of new employees who fill new jobs created in the redevelopment area shall be approved by the director of the department of economic development or his or her designee and the commissioner of the office of administration or his or her designee. Upon approval of the application, the director of the department of economic development or his or her designee and the commissioner of the office of administration or his or her designee shall issue a certificate of approval. The department of economic development may request the appropriation following application approval;

(3) The appropriation shall be either a portion of the estimate of the incremental increase in the general revenue portion of state sales tax revenues in the redevelopment area or a portion of the estimate of the state income tax withheld by the employer on behalf of new employees who fill new jobs created in the redevelopment area as indicated in the municipality's application, approved by the director of the department of economic development or his or her designee and the commissioner of the office of administration or his or her designee. At no time shall the aggregate annual appropriation of the new state revenues for redevelopment areas exceed fifteen million dollars;

(4) Redevelopment plans and projects receiving new state revenues shall have a duration of up to fifteen years, unless prior approval for a longer term is given by the director of the department of economic development or his or her designee and the commissioner of the office of administration or his or her designee; except that, in no case shall the duration exceed twenty three years.

11. In addition to the areas authorized in subsection 9 of this section, the funding authorized pursuant to subsection 4 of this section shall also be available in a federally approved levee district, where construction of a levee begins after December 23, 1997, and which is contained within a county of the first classification without a charter form of government with a population between fifty thousand and one hundred thousand inhabitants which contains all or part of a city with a population in excess of four hundred thousand or more inhabitants.

12. There is hereby established within the state treasury a special fund to be known as the "Missouri Supplemental Tax Increment Financing Fund", to be administered by the department of economic development. The department shall annually distribute from the Missouri supplemental tax increment financing fund the amount of the new state revenues as appropriated as provided in the provisions of subsections 4 and 5 of this section if and only if the conditions of subsection 10 of this section are met. The fund shall also consist of any gifts, contributions, grants or bequests received from federal, private or other sources. Moneys in the Missouri supplemental tax increment financing fund shall be disbursed per project pursuant to state appropriations.

13. All personnel and other costs incurred by the department of economic development for the administration and operation of subsections 4 to 12 of this section shall be paid from the state general revenue fund. On an annual basis, the general revenue fund shall be reimbursed for the full amount of such costs by the developer or developers of the project or projects for which municipalities have made tax increment financing applications for the appropriation of new state revenues, as provided for in subdivision (1) of subsection 10 of this section. The amount of costs charged to each developer shall be based upon the percentage arrived at by dividing the monetary amount of the application made by each municipality for a particular project by the total monetary amount of all applications received by the department of economic development.

14. For redevelopment plans or projects approved by ordinance that result in net new jobs from the relocation of a national headquarters from another state to the area of the redevelopment project, the economic activity taxes and new state tax revenues shall not be based on a calculation of the incremental increase in taxes as compared to the base year or prior calendar year for such redevelopment project, rather the incremental increase shall be the amount of total taxes generated from the net new jobs brought in by the national headquarters from another state. In no event shall this subsection be construed to allow a redevelopment project to receive an appropriation in excess of up to fifty percent of the new state revenues.

(L. 1982 H.B. 1411 & 1587 § 8 subsec. 1, A.L. 1986 S.B. 664 merged with H.B. 989 & 1390, A.L. 1990 H.B. 1564, A.L. 1991 H.B. 502, A.L. 1997 2d Ex. Sess. S.B. 1, A.L. 1998 S.B. 707 & 484, A.L. 2003 H.B. 289 merged with S.B. 235)

*This section was amended by H.B. 289, S.B. 235, and S.B. 620 during the first regular session of the 92nd General Assembly, 2003. Due to a contingent expiration date in § 135.284, two versions of this section appear here.

***This act" (H.B. 289, 2003) contained numerous sections. Consult Disposition of Sections table for a definitive listing.

(1995) This statute creates an exception to the county sales tax statutes (67.582 & 67.700). County of Jefferson v. Quiktrip Corp., 912 S.W.2d 487 (Mo.banc 1995).

Tax increment financing adoption--division of ad valorem taxes--payments in lieu of tax, deposit, certain evaluation not to be used in calculating state school aid formula, when--other taxes included, amount--supplemental tax increment financing fund established, disbursement--net new jobs from relocation, effect of.

99.845. 1. A municipality, either at the time a redevelopment project is approved or in the event a municipality has undertaken acts establishing a redevelopment plan and redevelopment project and has designated a redevelopment area after the passage and approval of sections 99.800 to 99.865 but prior to August 13, 1982, which acts are in conformance with the procedures of sections 99.800 to 99.865, may adopt tax increment allocation financing by passing an ordinance providing that after the total equalized assessed valuation of the taxable real property in a redevelopment project exceeds the certified total initial equalized

assessed valuation of the taxable real property in the redevelopment project, the ad valorem taxes, and payments in lieu of taxes, if any, arising from the levies upon taxable real property in such redevelopment project by taxing districts and tax rates determined in the manner provided in subsection 2 of section 99.855 each year after the effective date of the ordinance until redevelopment costs have been paid shall be divided as follows:

(1) That portion of taxes, penalties and interest levied upon each taxable lot, block, tract, or parcel of real property which is attributable to the initial equalized assessed value of each such taxable lot, block, tract, or parcel of real property in the area selected for the redevelopment project shall be allocated to and, when collected, shall be paid by the county collector to the respective affected taxing districts in the manner required by law in the absence of the adoption of tax increment allocation financing;

(2) Payments in lieu of taxes attributable to the increase in the current equalized assessed valuation of each taxable lot, block, tract, or parcel of real property in the area selected for the redevelopment project and any applicable penalty and interest over and above the initial equalized assessed value of each such unit of property in the area selected for the redevelopment project shall be allocated to and, when collected, shall be paid to the municipal treasurer who shall deposit such payment in lieu of taxes into a special fund called the "Special Allocation Fund" of the municipality for the purpose of paying redevelopment costs and obligations incurred in the payment thereof. Payments in lieu of taxes which are due and owing shall constitute a lien against the real estate of the redevelopment project from which they are derived and shall be collected in the same manner as the real property tax, including the assessment of penalties and interest where applicable. The municipality may, in the ordinance, pledge the funds in the special allocation fund for the payment of such costs and obligations and provide for the collection of payments in lieu of taxes, the lien of which may be foreclosed in the same manner as a special assessment lien as provided in section 88.861, RSMo. No part of the current equalized assessed valuation of each lot, block, tract, or parcel of property in the area selected for the redevelopment project attributable to any increase above the total initial equalized assessed value of such properties shall be used in calculating the general state school aid formula provided for in section 163.031, RSMo, until such time as all redevelopment costs have been paid as provided for in this section and section 99.850;

(3) For purposes of this section, "levies upon taxable real property in such redevelopment project by taxing districts" shall not include the blind pension fund tax levied under the authority of article III, section 38(b) of the Missouri Constitution, or the merchants' and manufacturers' inventory replacement tax levied under the authority of subsection 2 of section 6 of article X, of the Missouri Constitution, except in redevelopment project areas in which tax increment financing has been adopted by ordinance pursuant to a plan approved by vote of the governing body of the municipality taken after August 13, 1982, and before January 1, 1998.

2. In addition to the payments in lieu of taxes described in subdivision (2) of subsection 1 of this section, for redevelopment plans and projects adopted or redevelopment projects approved by ordinance after July 12, 1990, and prior to August 31, 1991, fifty percent of the total additional revenue from taxes, penalties and interest imposed by the municipality, or other taxing districts, which are generated by economic activities within the area of the redevelopment project over the amount of such taxes generated by economic activities within the area of the redevelopment project in the calendar year prior to the adoption of the redevelopment project by ordinance, while tax increment financing remains in effect, but excluding taxes imposed on sales or charges for sleeping rooms paid by transient guests of hotels and motels, taxes levied pursuant to section 70.500, RSMo, licenses, fees or special assessments other than payments in lieu of taxes and any penalty and interest thereon, or, effective January 1, 1998, taxes levied pursuant to section 94.660, RSMo, for the purpose of public transportation, shall be allocated to, and paid by the local political subdivision collecting officer to the treasurer or other designated financial officer of the municipality, who shall deposit such funds in a separate segregated account within the special allocation fund. Any provision of an agreement, contract or covenant entered into prior to July 12, 1990, between a municipality and any other political subdivision which provides for an appropriation of other municipal revenues to the special allocation fund shall be and remain enforceable.

3. In addition to the payments in lieu of taxes described in subdivision (2) of subsection 1 of this section, for redevelopment plans and projects adopted or redevelopment projects approved by ordinance after August 31, 1991, fifty percent of the total additional revenue from taxes, penalties and interest which are imposed by the municipality or other taxing districts, and which are generated by economic activities within the area of the redevelopment project over the amount of such taxes generated by economic activities within the area of the redevelopment project in the calendar year prior to the adoption of the redevelopment project by ordinance, while tax increment financing remains in effect, but excluding personal property taxes, taxes imposed on sales or charges for sleeping rooms paid by transient guests of hotels and motels, taxes levied pursuant to section 70.500, RSMo, or effective January 1, 1998, taxes levied for the purpose of public transportation pursuant to section 94.660, RSMo, licenses, fees or special assessments other than payments in lieu of taxes and penalties and interest thereon, shall be allocated to, and paid by the local political subdivision collecting officer to the treasurer or other designated financial officer of the municipality, who shall deposit such

funds in a separate segregated account within the special allocation fund.

4. Beginning January 1, 1998, for redevelopment plans and projects adopted or redevelopment projects approved by ordinance and which have complied with subsections 4 to 12 of this section, in addition to the payments in lieu of taxes and economic activity taxes described in subsections 1, 2 and 3 of this section, up to fifty percent of the new state revenues, as defined in subsection 8 of this section, estimated for the businesses within the project area and identified by the municipality in the application required by subsection 10 of this section, over and above the amount of such taxes reported by businesses within the project area as identified by the municipality in their application prior to the approval of the redevelopment project by ordinance, while tax increment financing remains in effect, may be available for appropriation by the general assembly as provided in subsection 10 of this section to the department of economic development supplemental tax increment financing fund, from the general revenue fund, for distribution to the treasurer or other designated financial officer of the municipality with approved plans or projects.

5. The treasurer or other designated financial officer of the municipality with approved plans or projects shall deposit such funds in a separate segregated account within the special allocation fund established pursuant to section 99.805.

6. No transfer from the general revenue fund to the Missouri supplemental tax increment financing fund shall be made unless an appropriation is made from the general revenue fund for that purpose. No municipality shall commit any state revenues prior to an appropriation being made for that project. For all redevelopment plans or projects adopted or approved after December 23, 1997, appropriations from the new state revenues shall not be distributed from the Missouri supplemental tax increment financing fund into the special allocation fund unless the municipality's redevelopment plan ensures that one hundred percent of payments in lieu of taxes and fifty percent of economic activity taxes generated by the project shall be used for eligible redevelopment project costs while tax increment financing remains in effect. This account shall be separate from the account into which payments in lieu of taxes are deposited, and separate from the account into which economic activity taxes are deposited.

7. In order for the redevelopment plan or project to be eligible to receive the revenue described in subsection 4 of this section, the municipality shall comply with the requirements of subsection 10 of this section prior to the time the project or plan is adopted or approved by ordinance. The director of the department of economic development and the commissioner of the office of administration may waive the requirement that the municipality's application be submitted prior to the redevelopment plan's or project's adoption or the redevelopment plan's or project's approval by ordinance.

8. For purposes of this section, "new state revenues" means:

(1) The incremental increase in the general revenue portion of state sales tax revenues received pursuant to section 144.020, RSMo, excluding sales taxes that are constitutionally dedicated, taxes deposited to the school district trust fund in accordance with section 144.701, RSMo, sales and use taxes on motor vehicles, trailers, boats and outboard motors and future sales taxes earmarked by law. The incremental increase in the general revenue portion of state sales tax revenues for an existing or relocated facility shall be the amount that current state sales tax revenue exceeds the state sales tax revenue in the base year as stated in the redevelopment plan as provided in subsection 10 of this section; or

(2) The state income tax withheld on behalf of new employees by the employer pursuant to section 143.221, RSMo, at the business located within the project as identified by the municipality. The state income tax withholding allowed by this section shall be the municipality's estimate of the amount of state income tax withheld by the employer within the redevelopment area for new employees who fill new jobs directly created by the tax increment financing project.

9. Subsection 4 of this section shall apply only to blighted areas located in enterprise zones, pursuant to sections 135.200 to 135.256, RSMo, blighted areas located in federal empowerment zones, or to blighted areas located in central business districts or urban core areas of cities which districts or urban core areas at the time of approval of the project by ordinance, provided that the enterprise zones, federal empowerment zones or blighted areas contained one or more buildings at least fifty years old; and

(1) Suffered from generally declining population or property taxes over the twenty-year period immediately preceding the area's designation as a project area by ordinance; or

(2) Was a historic hotel located in a county of the first classification without a charter form of government with a population according to the most recent federal decennial census in excess of one hundred fifty thousand and containing a portion of a city with a population according to the most recent federal decennial census in excess of three hundred fifty thousand.

10. The initial appropriation of up to fifty percent of the new state revenues authorized pursuant to subsections 4 and

5 of this section shall not be made to or distributed by the department of economic development to a municipality until all of the following conditions have been satisfied:

(1) The director of the department of economic development or his or her designee and the commissioner of the office of administration or his or her designee have approved a tax increment financing application made by the municipality for the appropriation of the new state revenues. The municipality shall include in the application the following items in addition to the items in section 99.810:

- (a) The tax increment financing district or redevelopment area, including the businesses identified within the redevelopment area;
- (b) The base year of state sales tax revenues or the base year of state income tax withheld on behalf of existing employees, reported by existing businesses within the project area prior to approval of the redevelopment project;
- (c) The estimate of the incremental increase in the general revenue portion of state sales tax revenue or the estimate for the state income tax withheld by the employer on behalf of new employees expected to fill new jobs created within the redevelopment area after redevelopment;
- (d) The official statement of any bond issue pursuant to this subsection after December 23, 1997;
- (e) An affidavit that is signed by the developer or developers attesting that the provisions of subdivision (1) of section 99.810 have been met and specifying that the redevelopment area would not be reasonably anticipated to be developed without the appropriation of the new state revenues;
- (f) The cost-benefit analysis required by section 99.810 includes a study of the fiscal impact on the state of Missouri; and
- (g) The statement of election between the use of the incremental increase of the general revenue portion of the state sales tax revenues or the state income tax withheld by employers on behalf of new employees who fill new jobs created in the redevelopment area;

(2) The methodologies used in the application for determining the base year and determining the estimate of the incremental increase in the general revenue portion of the state sales tax revenues or the state income tax withheld by employers on behalf of new employees who fill new jobs created in the redevelopment area shall be approved by the director of the department of economic development or his or her designee and the commissioner of the office of administration or his or her designee. Upon approval of the application, the director of the department of economic development or his or her designee and the commissioner of the office of administration or his or her designee shall issue a certificate of approval. The department of economic development may request the appropriation following application approval;

(3) The appropriation shall be either a portion of the estimate of the incremental increase in the general revenue portion of state sales tax revenues in the redevelopment area or a portion of the estimate of the state income tax withheld by the employer on behalf of new employees who fill new jobs created in the redevelopment area as indicated in the municipality's application, approved by the director of the department of economic development or his or her designee and the commissioner of the office of administration or his or her designee. At no time shall the aggregate annual appropriation of the new state revenues for redevelopment areas exceed fifteen million dollars;

(4) Redevelopment plans and projects receiving new state revenues shall have a duration of up to fifteen years, unless prior approval for a longer term is given by the director of the department of economic development or his or her designee and the commissioner of the office of administration or his or her designee; except that, in no case shall the duration exceed twenty three years.

11. In addition to the areas authorized in subsection 9 of this section, the funding authorized pursuant to subsection 4 of this section shall also be available in a federally approved levee district, where construction of a levee begins after December

23, 1997, and which is contained within a county of the first classification without a charter form of government with a population between fifty thousand and one hundred thousand inhabitants which contains all or part of a city with a population in excess of four hundred thousand or more inhabitants.

12. There is hereby established within the state treasury a special fund to be known as the "Missouri Supplemental Tax Increment Financing Fund", to be administered by the department of economic development. The department shall annually distribute from the Missouri supplemental tax increment financing fund the amount of the new state revenues as appropriated as provided in the provisions of subsections 4 and 5 of this section if and only if the conditions of subsection 10 of this section are met. The fund shall also consist of any gifts, contributions, grants or bequests received from federal, private or other sources. Moneys in the Missouri supplemental tax increment financing fund shall be disbursed per project pursuant to state appropriations.

13. All personnel and other costs incurred by the department of economic development for the administration and operation of subsections 4 to 12 of this section shall be paid from the state general revenue fund. On an annual basis, the general revenue fund shall be reimbursed for the full amount of such costs by the developer or developers of the project or projects for which municipalities have made tax increment financing applications for the appropriation of new state revenues, as provided for in subdivision (1) of subsection 10 of this section. The amount of costs charged to each developer shall be based upon the percentage arrived at by dividing the monetary amount of the application made by each municipality for a particular project by the total monetary amount of all applications received by the department of economic development.

14. For redevelopment plans or projects approved by ordinance that result in net new jobs from the relocation of a national headquarters from another state to the area of the redevelopment project, the economic activity taxes and new state tax revenues shall not be based on a calculation of the incremental increase in taxes as compared to the base year or prior calendar year for such redevelopment project, rather the incremental increase shall be the amount of total taxes generated from the net new jobs brought in by the national headquarters from another state. In no event shall this subsection be construed to allow a redevelopment project to receive an appropriation in excess of up to fifty percent of the new state revenues.

(L. 1982 H.B. 1411 & 1587 § 8 subsec. 1, A.L. 1986 S.B. 664 merged with H.B. 989 & 1390, A.L. 1990 H.B. 1564, A.L. 1991 H.B. 502, A.L. 1997 2d Ex. Sess. S.B. 1, A.L. 1998 S.B. 707 & 484, A.L. 2003 S.B. 620)

Effective 6-18-03

Contingent expiration date, see § 135.284

*This section was amended by H.B. 289, S.B. 235 and S.B. 620 during the first regular session of the 92nd General Assembly, 2003. Due to a contingent expiration date in § 135.284, two versions of this section appear here.

Reimbursement from special allocation fund for emergency services, when--no new TIF projects authorized for flood plain areas in St. Charles County, applicability of restriction.

99.847. 1. Any district providing emergency services pursuant to chapter 190 or 321, RSMo, shall be entitled to reimbursement from the special allocation fund in the amount of at least fifty percent nor more than one hundred percent of the district's tax increment.

2. Notwithstanding the provisions of sections 99.800 to 99.865 to the contrary, no new tax increment financing project shall be authorized in any area which is within an area designated as flood plain by the Federal Emergency Management Agency and which is located in or partly within a county with a charter form of government with greater than two hundred fifty thousand inhabitants but fewer than three hundred thousand inhabitants.

3. This subsection shall not apply to tax increment financing projects or districts approved prior to July 1, 2003, and shall allow the aforementioned tax increment financing projects to modify, amend or expand such projects including redevelopment project costs by not more than forty percent of such project original projected cost including redevelopment project costs as such projects including redevelopment project costs as such projects redevelopment projects including redevelopment project costs existed as of June 30, 2003, and shall allow the aforementioned tax increment financing district to modify, amend or expand such districts by not more than five percent as such districts existed as of June 30, 2003.

(L. 1996 H.B. 1237 § 24, A.L. 2002 S.B. 1107)

(2004) Subsections 2 and 3 of section as added by Senate Bill No. 1107 in 2002 violated single subject requirement of article III, section 23 and are void. City of St. Charles v. Holden and Nixon, Case No. 02CV325669 (Cole County Circuit Court, 5/11/04).

Emergency services district, reimbursement from special allocation fund authorized, when.

99.848. Notwithstanding subsection 1 of section 99.847, any district providing emergency services pursuant to chapter 190 or 321, RSMo, shall be entitled to reimbursement from the special allocation fund in the amount of at least fifty percent nor more than one hundred percent of the district's tax increment. This section shall not apply to tax increment financing projects or districts approved prior to August 28, 2004.

(L. 2004 H.B. 1529 & 1655)

Costs of project paid--surplus fund in special allocation fund --distribution-dissolution of fund and redevelopment area.

99.850. 1. When such redevelopment project costs, including, but not limited to, all municipal obligations financing redevelopment project costs incurred under sections 99.800 to 99.865 have been paid, all surplus funds then remaining in the special allocation fund shall be paid by the municipal treasurer to the county collector who shall immediately thereafter pay such funds to the taxing districts in the area selected for a redevelopment project in the same manner and proportion as the most recent distribution by the collector to the affected districts of real property taxes from real property in the area selected for a redevelopment project.

2. Upon the payment of all redevelopment project costs, retirement of obligations and the distribution of any excess moneys pursuant to section 99.845 and this section, the municipality shall adopt an ordinance dissolving the special allocation fund for the redevelopment area and terminating the designation of the redevelopment area as a redevelopment area. Thereafter the rates of the taxing districts shall be extended and taxes levied, collected, and distributed in the manner applicable in the absence of the adoption of tax increment financing.

3. Nothing in sections 99.800 to 99.865 shall be construed as relieving property in such areas from paying a uniform rate of taxes, as required by article X, section 3 of the Missouri Constitution.

(L. 1982 H.B. 1411 & 1587 § 8 subsecs. 2, 3, 4, A.L. 1991 H.B. 502)

Tax rates for districts containing redevelopment projects, method for establishing--county assessor's duties--method of extending taxes to terminate, when.

99.855. 1. If a municipality by ordinance provides for tax increment allocation financing pursuant to sections 99.845 and 99.850, the county assessor shall immediately thereafter determine total equalized assessed value of all taxable real property within such redevelopment project by adding together the most recently ascertained equalized assessed value of each taxable lot, block, tract, or parcel of real property within such project, and shall certify such amount as the total initial equalized assessed value of the taxable real property within such project.

2. After the county assessor has certified the total initial equalized assessed value of the taxable real property in such redevelopment project, then, in respect to every taxing district containing a redevelopment project, the county clerk, or any other official required by law to ascertain the amount of the equalized assessed value of all taxable property within such district for the purpose of computing any debt service levies to be extended upon taxable property within such district, shall in every year that tax increment allocation financing is in effect ascertain the amount of value of taxable property in a redevelopment project by including in such amount the certified total initial equalized assessed value of all taxable real property in such area in lieu of the equalized assessed value of all taxable real property in such area. For the purpose of measuring the size of payments in lieu of taxes under sections 99.800 to 99.865, all tax levies shall then be extended to the current equalized assessed value of all property in the redevelopment project in the same manner as the tax rate percentage is extended to all other taxable property in the taxing district. The method of extending taxes established under this section shall terminate when the municipality adopts an ordinance dissolving the special allocation fund for the redevelopment project.

(L. 1982 H.B. 1411 & 1587 § 9, A.L. 1986 S.B. 664 merged with H.B. 989 & 1390, A.L. 1991 H.B. 502)

Severability.

99.860. If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence or clause of sections 99.800 to 99.860 is, for any reason, held to be invalid or unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect any remaining portion, section, or part thereof which can be given effect without the invalid provision.

(L. 1982 H.B. 1411 & 1587 § 10)

Joint committee on real property tax increment allocation redevelopment, members, appointment, duties.

99.863. Beginning in 1999, and every five years thereafter, a joint committee of the general assembly, comprised of five members appointed by the speaker of the house of representatives and five members appointed by the president pro tem of the senate, shall review sections 99.800 to 99.865. A report based on such review, with any recommended legislative changes, shall be submitted to the speaker of the house of representatives and the president pro tem of the senate no later than February first following the year in which the review is conducted.

(L. 1997 2d Ex. Sess. S.B. 1)

Effective 12-23-97

Report by municipalities, contents, publication--satisfactory progress of project, procedure to determine--reports by department of economic development required, when, contents--rulemaking authority--department to provide manual, contents.

99.865. 1. Each year the governing body of the municipality, or its designee, shall prepare a report concerning the status of each redevelopment plan and redevelopment project, and shall submit a copy of such report to the director of the department of economic development. The report shall include the following:

- (1) The amount and source of revenue in the special allocation fund;
- (2) The amount and purpose of expenditures from the special allocation fund;
- (3) The amount of any pledge of revenues, including principal and interest on any outstanding bonded indebtedness;
- (4) The original assessed value of the redevelopment project;
- (5) The assessed valuation added to the redevelopment project;
- (6) Payments made in lieu of taxes received and expended;
- (7) The economic activity taxes generated within the redevelopment area in the calendar year prior to the approval of the redevelopment plan, to include a separate entry for the state sales tax revenue base for the redevelopment area or the state income tax withheld by employers on behalf of existing employees in the redevelopment area prior to the redevelopment plan;
- (8) The economic activity taxes generated within the redevelopment area after the approval of the redevelopment plan, to include a separate entry for the increase in state sales tax revenues for the redevelopment area or the increase in state income tax withheld by employers on behalf of new employees who fill new jobs created in the redevelopment area;
- (9) Reports on contracts made incident to the implementation and furtherance of a redevelopment plan or project;
- (10) A copy of any redevelopment plan, which shall include the required findings and cost benefit analysis pursuant to subdivisions (1) to (6) of section 99.810;
- (11) The cost of any property acquired, disposed of, rehabilitated, reconstructed, repaired or remodeled;
- (12) The number of parcels acquired by or through initiation of eminent domain proceedings; and
- (13) Any additional information the municipality deems necessary.

2. Data contained in the report mandated pursuant to the provisions of subsection 1 of this section and any information regarding amounts disbursed to municipalities pursuant to the provisions of section 99.845 shall be deemed a public

record, as defined in section 610.010, RSMo. An annual statement showing the payments made in lieu of taxes received and expended in that year, the status of the redevelopment plan and projects therein, amount of outstanding bonded indebtedness and any additional information the municipality deems necessary shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the municipality.

3. Five years after the establishment of a redevelopment plan and every five years thereafter the governing body shall hold a public hearing regarding those redevelopment plans and projects created pursuant to sections 99.800 to 99.865. The purpose of the hearing shall be to determine if the redevelopment project is making satisfactory progress under the proposed time schedule contained within the approved plans for completion of such projects. Notice of such public hearing shall be given in a newspaper of general circulation in the area served by the commission once each week for four weeks immediately prior to the hearing.

4. The director of the department of economic development shall submit a report to the speaker of the house of representatives and the president pro tem of the senate no later than February first of each year. The report shall contain a summary of all information received by the director pursuant to this section.

5. For the purpose of coordinating all tax increment financing projects using new state revenues, the director of the department of economic development may promulgate rules and regulations to ensure compliance with this section. Such rules and regulations may include methods for enumerating all of the municipalities which have established commissions pursuant to section 99.820. No rule or portion of a rule promulgated under the authority of sections 99.800 to 99.865 shall become effective unless it has been promulgated pursuant to the provisions of chapter 536, RSMo. All rulemaking authority delegated prior to June 27, 1997, is of no force and effect and repealed; however, nothing in this section shall be interpreted to repeal or affect the validity of any rule filed or adopted prior to June 27, 1997, if such rule complied with the provisions of chapter 536, RSMo. The provisions of this section and chapter 536, RSMo, are nonseverable and if any of the powers vested with the general assembly pursuant to chapter 536, RSMo, including the ability to review, to delay the effective date, or to disapprove and annul a rule or portion of a rule, are subsequently held unconstitutional, then the purported grant of rulemaking authority and any rule so proposed and contained in the order of rulemaking shall be invalid and void.

6. The department of economic development shall provide information and technical assistance, as requested by any municipality, on the requirements of sections 99.800 to 99.865. Such information and technical assistance shall be provided in the form of a manual, written in an easy- to-follow manner, and through consultations with departmental staff.

(L. 1982 H.B. 1411 & 1587 § 11, A.L. 1990 H.B. 1564, A.L. 1991 H.B. 502, A.L. 1997 2d Ex. Sess. S.B. 1)

Effective 12-23-97

(2000) Proposed city charter amendment requiring two-thirds voter approval on every tax increment financing measure violated section and thus was unconstitutional pursuant to article VI, section 19(a). State ex rel. Hazelwood Yellow Ribbon Committee v. Klos, 35 S.W.3d 457 (Mo.App.E.D.).

Approved: March 22, 2006